

**Reviving the Legacy of U Street: Gentrification, Black Culture, and Urban Renewal in
Washington, D.C.**

Catherine Smith

School of Communications, Elon University

JOU2500: Journalism in a Free Society

Dr. Anthony Hatcher

November 15, 2023

Abstract

The U Street neighborhood in Washington, D.C., known as "Black Broadway," has a rich history steeped in Black culture, resilience, and community strength. This research delves into the intricate tapestry of U Street's rise, fall, and ongoing revitalization, exploring the impact of urban renewal and gentrification on its Black community. This research examines how U Street's historical significance shaped cultural identity and economic empowerment, the factors that led to its mid-20th-century decline, the transformative effects of gentrification, and the strategies employed to address these challenges. Additionally, the paper explores the role of community institutions in preserving the U Street community and how this history offers insights into broader issues of urban renewal, gentrification, and cultural preservation in the United States.

This study combines qualitative and quantitative methods to provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities faced by communities of color in rapidly gentrifying urban areas.

Introduction

The U Street neighborhood in Washington, D.C., has long been an emblem of Black culture, resilience, and community strength. Often referred to as "Black Broadway," this bustling thoroughfare was once the heart of the city's African American community. U Street, at its prime, stood as a shining example of economic empowerment and cultural vibrancy within the African American population, echoing the legacy of "Black Wall Streets" across the United States.

Washington D.C. was once affectionately dubbed "The Chocolate City" due to its majority Black population of the time. D.C. was nearly half Black by the mid-20th century, and over 71% Black by 1970, creating a "capital city that was also a national icon of local Black political power" (Politico, *"Washington Was an Icon of Black Political Power. Then Came Gentrification"*).

However, like many urban areas in the mid-20th century, U Street faced a tumultuous period of decline, marked by urban renewal projects that transformed its landscape and disrupted the community that had thrived there for generations. The effects of gentrification, with its promise of urban renewal and economic revitalization, brought with it a double-edged sword, displacing Black entrepreneurs and residents while altering the neighborhood's cultural fabric and personality. These effects have resulted in today's housing crisis in which many Black residents have been displaced to often subpar housing conditions. The result has been a complex interplay of transformation, resilience, and controversy, making U Street a microcosm of broader issues related to urban renewal, gentrification, and cultural preservation in cities across the United States.

This research seeks to delve into the intricate tapestry of U Street's history, exploring its rise, fall, and subsequent revival, as well as the aspects of urban renewal and gentrification as experienced by this historic neighborhood. By investigating the impact of these processes on Washington, D.C.'s Black community, the study aims to shed light on the challenges faced by communities of color in rapidly gentrifying urban areas and to evaluate the potential for preserving cultural heritage and affordable housing amidst ongoing change.

In this research, I aim to explore the intricate history of the U Street neighborhood in Washington, D.C., delving into its rise, decline, and ongoing revitalization. This study is guided by several key research questions:

RQ1: How does U Street's historical significance as a hub of Black culture and community impact the experiences of its residents, particularly in terms of cultural identity and economic empowerment?

RQ2: What factors led to the decline of U Street in the mid-20th century, and what was the impact on the Black community?

RQ3: What were the effects of urban renewal and gentrification on the U Street Corridor and their consequences for the Black community?

Methods

Historical analysis of documents, archives, and records related to U Street's history and development was conducted. These sources provided insights into the neighborhood's past and its significance. Interviews and oral histories from journal articles and books featuring the perspectives of long-time residents and local experts were analyzed to offer firsthand accounts of U Street. Quantitative data analysis was performed on demographic and economic data related to U Street and its surrounding areas. This data was obtained from public records and government sources. Records and documents from community institutions, such as churches, schools, and social clubs, were examined to understand their role in the preservation of the U Street community.

This paper hypothesizes that U Street's historical significance fostered a unique and self-sustained ecosystem, contributing to a strong sense of cultural identity and economic empowerment among its residents.

I investigate the factors that led to the decline of U Street in the mid-20th century and its impact on the Black community. The hypothesis suggests that factors such as the abolishment of Jim Crow, the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr., and increased crime rates played a significant role in the decline, resulting in a loss of community cohesion and economic challenges.

I also examine the transformative effects of urban renewal and gentrification on the U Street Corridor and their consequences for the Black community. The hypothesis posits that these processes led to changes in the neighborhood's cultural fabric and community composition, resulting in the displacement of the Black community and economic challenges as the area became more attractive to wealthier, predominantly white residents.

The role of community institutions, such as churches, schools, and social clubs, in the resilience and preservation of the U Street community are explored in response to gentrification. The hypothesis suggests that these institutions played a vital role in supporting and empowering the Black residents during times of change.

Lastly, I assess how the history of U Street offers insights into broader issues related to urban renewal, gentrification, and cultural preservation in cities across the United States. I hypothesize that U Street serves as a poignant case study, providing valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities faced by communities of color in rapidly gentrifying urban areas. This research employs a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, including historical analysis, interviews from oral history documents with longtime community members, and data analysis, to comprehensively address these research questions and test the hypotheses, contributing to the ongoing discourse surrounding inclusive urban development and the preservation of cultural heritage.

Literature Review

This literature review focuses on; the history of the U Street Corridor and its rise to prominence from the Pre-Civil War era to the mid-20th century as a self-sustained, culturally Black community, the decline of the U Street Corridor and its subsequent revival, and gentrification as a contemporary urban phenomenon and its impact on communities of color.

History and Establishment of U Street Corridor

The U Street Corridor is a commercial and residential district in Northwest Washington, D.C that encompasses a nine-block segment of U Street, extending from 9th Street to 18th Street, also

known as Shaw, or the Shaw neighborhood. It is home to approximately 11,497 residents and spans 0.2 square miles, according to real estate marketplace site Point 2 (“U Street Washington, Washington, DC Demographics”). In this research paper, the terms “U Street,” “U Street Corridor,” and “Shaw” will be used interchangeably.

The city of Washington, D.C. was home to the largest African American urban community in the United States in 1920. Since the city’s establishment in 1791, the capital remained between one-quarter and one-third black, with roots dating to free, black, pre-Civil War communities that established churches and schools before Emancipation Proclamation. Post-war, black scholars and academics of the nation migrated to the city, inspiring an influx of black Americans seeking schools for their children and jobs in the racially diverse epicenter for black excellence (Smith, p. 29).

The beating heart of the city’s culture, economy, and social and community gatherings lay in the area centered around U Street. Between 1920 and 1960, the area was characterized by successful black lawyers, physicians, artists, musicians, culinary artists, teachers, religious leaders, architects, and engineers (Smith p. 29, p.33).

U Street Corridor is located near Howard University, a vibrant academic hub for black creativity, drawing Black Americans to the heart of the district. In 1960, Howard University had played a crucial role in the education of African American professionals, with almost half of all African American doctors, dentists, architects, and engineers having received their training there. Additionally, a significant 96 percent of black lawyers, who played pivotal roles in leading and strategizing the modern civil rights movement, had also been educated at Howard University (Smith, p. 29).

Thus, a legacy of a “Black Wall Street” developed in Washington, D.C. Between 1895 and 1920, black owned businesses in Shaw increased from 15 to 300, and the area contained all the city’s “colored” high schools (Smith, p. 33).

The flourishing music and cultural scene blossomed amidst the “Black Renaissance,” a period in the mid-20th century when urban black communities experienced significant cultural growth. Arts,

literature, and activism acted as a passionate retaliation to racism and segregation in the city (Smith, p. 22).

U Street boasted a multitude of iconic cultural spaces, which were financed, designed, and built by African American professionals, creating a “Black Boulevard” by the 1920s. Prevalent jazz performers from across the nation, such as Duke Ellington and Pearl Bailey, flocked to the city’s elegant, ballroom-style nightlife (Smith, p. 34).

Within a few city blocks, event spaces and clubs such as the Lincoln Colonnade, Odd Fellows Hall, and Murray Casino attracted vibrant crowds, flanked by restaurants and after-dinner clubs. The “jewel” of U Street was the Howard Theatre, built in 1910. Predating New York’s Apollo Theatre as the oldest theater for black audiences and entertainers in the country, the Howard remained a beacon of culture as it evolved through vignette plays of the early 20th century, jazz, rhythm, blues, and comedy of the 1930s, 40s, and 50s, and the soul movement of the 1960s (Smith, p. 34).

Ben’s Chili Bowl was built in 1958 by Trinidadian Americans Ben and Virginia Ali, serving as a hub for hearty meals and community gathering. Top performers from U Street’s Black Broadway, such as Ella Fitzgerald, Miles Davis, and Nat King Cole, could often be found at “The Bowl” (“The History of Ben’s Chili Bowl”).

Social clubs rose in popularity through the 20th and mid-20th century, where members of fraternal organizations created communities and formal events, such as supper clubs and dances, which provided spaces for people to connect and form lasting bonds. These clubs were more than social venues; they were engines of empowerment and solidarity, contributing to the collective strength of the community.

Churches in the area served as spiritual and communal anchors, nurturing faith and providing support to residents. The St. Augustine Catholic Church was founded in 1858 by emancipated Black Catholics and faced backlash from the Catholic Church itself and the city due to prejudice and societal ties to racism and slavery (*St. Augustine Catholic Church*). Despite challenges, the church flourished,

providing free public education for Black students four years before the enactment of the D.C. Emancipation Act in 1892, which mandated free public education for “colored” children throughout the city (“Separate and Unequal Education in 19th Century DC Schools”).

Schools were centers of education and pillars of empowerment in Shaw, with a deep-rooted commitment to fostering academic excellence within the Black community. Dunbar High School in Northwest D.C. was the only college preparatory Black high school in the District, gaining a strong reputation that “enabled Dunbar graduates to attend the best colleges in the country” (Thomas and Norton, 2021, p. 41). Notable Dunbar graduates in the Twentieth Century include Senator Edward Brooke; Robert Weaver, the first African American Housing and Urban Development secretary; and Wesley Brown, the first African American to graduate from the United States Naval Academy (Thomas and Norton, 2021, p. 41).

As D.C. Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton writes in the introduction of Briana A. Thomas’ book *Black Broadway in Washington D.C.*, Shaw’s strong education tradition can be attributed to a broad community ideal of rejecting the “inferiority assigned to them by the larger society, particularly in the South” (p. 56). The District allowed for African Americans to live in a society where segregation “left Blacks... outside of the daily and immediate psychology of inferiority,” acting to provide “protective insulation from the notion that segregation equaled inferiority” (p.57).

The combination of vibrant cultural life, strong community ties, and the presence of numerous community-serving institutions made U Street and Shaw a unique part of the city. This distinctive blend of cultural richness and interconnected community created a neighborhood that was not only historically significant but also a source of immense pride for its residents. However, as the 20th Century advanced, the dynamics of the U Street Corridor changed, leading to its eventual decline and subsequent revival.

Decline and Urban Renewal

White supremacy had ruled the city of Washington, D.C. for decades predating the Jim Crow era, a period of time in the Southern United States when racial segregation was widely legalized and

reinforced from the end of Reconstruction and the mid-twentieth century. Many factors contributed to the decline of U Street, but this literature review will focus on key events, desegregation and the abolishment of Jim Crow, and the riots of April 1968, as a catalyst for the decline of U Street.

Gentrification changed the cultural fabric and personality of the U Street Corridor and displaced the strong community that once thrived. Thomas links the initial demographic shift of the neighborhood to the abolishment of Jim Crow, “paired with desegregation and integration,” which “motivated Black Washingtonians to emigrate out of the corridor” (Thomas and Norton, 2021, p. 41). U Street Corridor’s black middle-class community began to move to neighborhoods recently opened to them, and Shaw “lost the mix of people that had been one of its greatest strengths” (Smith, p. 30).

The residents that remained were often restricted by oppressive “housing covenants and limited access to mortgage loans” (Thomas and Norton, p. 1830). Prior to desegregation, real estate developers built homes for masses of white WWII workers that flocked to the city, and “white resistance to integrate housing developments” led to unfair, poor living conditions in “alley communities where poor Blacks resided” (Thomas and Norton, p. 1830). Thus, the Black Shaw community was both displaced and pushed to subpar housing developments by the time that Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. was assassinated.

Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. was assassinated on April 4, 1968, reigniting the movement against racial violence among Civil Rights supporters due to the shocking murder of the peace-oriented, highly influential leader. The nation was shocked, propelling 130 cities to rise up and take a stance against his murder (Thomas and Norton, p. 2151). Across America and other “mini Black meccas,” American cities turned into “war zones,” from April 4 through 11. Thomas attributed the riots to an accumulation of “pent up rage” in Black communities, stemming from three-hundred plus years of the violent and oppressive consequences of American white supremacy (Thomas and Norton, 2152). On U Street, the riots involved arson and looting, which was met by extreme violence from the police force. At this time, the police force in the city was 90 percent white, governing a city that was 75 percent black (Thomas and Norton, p 2151.)

Longtime Shaw resident and former D.C. Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner Darren Jones grew up during the time of the riots. In an interview with Thomas, he vividly described the aftermath and harrowing mood that struck Shaw. He recalled “military men riding through Fourteenth Street in jeeps holding guns,” and “pepper spray in the air.” During the aftermath, his mother gave him wet rags before stepping outside to block the stench when placed over the nose. A deep unrest could be felt through the adults of the community. Jones observed that “all of the parents seemed so angry,” and just about “everyone seemed to be angry.” At Monroe Elementary School, his teachers “were crying,” in class, and he realized their deep commitment to “the neighborhood” and their students (Thomas and Norton, p. 1968, 1969).

Amidst the destruction of the riots, the community’s “spirit” was damaged, and “once proud sections of Black businesses lay in ruins,” resulting in a period of widespread “shock and isolation” (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166). Black homes, institutions, and businesses became vacant or boarded up, which “gave rise to communities that attracted drugs, crime or violence” (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166). Left behind were “gaping holes in the community” in Shaw, as well as the Cardozo and LeDroit Park neighborhoods, between 1968 and 1998, largely due to “Black flight” from the increasingly dangerous area (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166). The deterioration of the pre-1968 communities that were once central to the ecosystem and culture of U Street continued over the next 30 years.

As the communities receded over the following years, Washington, D.C.’s Black population decreased. The previous 75 percent Black population decreased to 65 percent in 1980, 60 percent in 1990, 50 percent in 2000, and 45 percent in 2020 (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166).

Mayor Marion Barry opened the Frank D. Reeves Municipal Center at 14th and U in 1986, which spurred a rediscovery of the U Street Corridor as a “convenient and attractive place in which to live and do business” (Smith, p. 30). The Thirteenth and U Street Metrorail station was constructed in 1991, which connected the rest of the city to the neighborhood. (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166). Civil Rights activist Dr. Frank Smith constructed the African American Civil War Memorial just outside of the Metro stop in

1998, causing the community to begin to “bounce back” (Thomas and Norton, 2166). Between 1998 and 2005, gentrification had seeped into the fabric of the U Street Corridor, as young commuters “began to flock to cheap real estate opportunities in urban centers,” namely; Shaw, Cardozo, LeDroit Park, and Columbia Heights (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166). Before long, an array of cafes, cinemas, coffee shops, boutiques, dog parks, and bike lanes emerged as the new generation inflowed (Thomas and Norton, p. 2166).

Shaw grew into a space that no longer maintained its historic role as a “village or small town” in which African American residents “felt valued, comfortable, and safe,” and instead became an opportunity for developers to attract more affluent, white Washingtonians (Smith, p.38).

Gentrification as a Contemporary Urban Phenomenon in the United States

Gentrification is a multifaceted process characterized by increased real estate investments that elevate home prices in low-income neighborhoods. This is accompanied by the influx of middle-class or affluent residents, which often leads to the displacement of poorer residents, as described by Thomas in a compiled history of U Street. Simultaneously, neighborhood segregation remains a pervasive feature of American cities, with historical underpinnings in both governmental policies and household sorting. The phenomenon of neighborhood segregation has evolved over time, influenced by historical factors, including government policies and the decentralized sorting of households. In cases where tipping points exist, White residents may abandon neighborhoods once a specific threshold of the Black population is exceeded. Empirical research has estimated these tipping points to range from 5% to 20% and has correlated them with the racial tolerance of White residents. (Ferreira, et al., p. 1)

In Ferreria’s research, the historical account highlights Black residents' migration into central neighborhoods as White households began moving to suburban areas. (Ferreira, et al. p.1). However, recent years have seen a resurgence in central cities and downtown areas, marked by the arrival of high-income residents. This resurgence, while often resulting in increased property values and more redevelopment efforts, has also led to economic enhancements in low-income neighborhoods and,

paradoxically, the displacement of local residents. This transformation is frequently denoted as gentrification, a phenomenon that has garnered significant scholarly attention, particularly focusing on the challenges of household displacement (Ferreira, et al. p.2).

Impacts of Gentrification on Communities of Color

A 2023 study from the National Bureau of Economic Research delves into the multifaceted impacts of gentrification, which results in substantial shifts in the socio-economic fabric of these areas (Ferreira, et al., p. 1). Gentrification often entails an upsurge in property values, with data showing an increase of 4.4 percentage points over a ten-year period, relative to an average price growth of 45%. This indicates a significant increase in house prices within gentrifying neighborhoods (Ferreira, et al., p. 2) The data set is based on almost 100 million unique moving records of urban American residents in the 50 largest Metropolitan Statistical Areas, collected from financial, cable, and communications companies. The study finds that gentrification has five primary effects:

1. **House Price Increase:** Gentrification leads to a 4.4 percentage point increase in house prices over a ten-year period relative to an average price growth of 45% (Ferreira, et al., p. 3).
2. **Demographic Shifts:** The share of Black residents decreases by nearly 0.8 percentage points, relative to an average Black share of 11.6%, while the White share increases by a similar 0.8 percentage points. This illustrates a significant change in the racial composition of neighborhoods (Ferreira, et al., p. 3).
3. **Gentrification shocks** lead to changes in the mobility of residents, particularly those from Black neighborhoods. The share of households moving to the top 1 and top 2 most-connected neighborhoods increases by 1.2 and 1.5 percentage points, respectively.
4. **Households Moving Out:** There is an increase in the share of households moving out of the Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) by 1.4 percentage points.
5. **Consistency in Destination Characteristics:** Gentrification does not significantly impact the characteristics of destination neighborhoods. Households tend to move to areas with similar racial

compositions and farther from downtown, indicating that the process of Black suburbanization remains largely unchanged (Ferreira, et al., p. 3).

The impact of gentrification on segregation within MSAs is complex. Gentrified neighborhoods are becoming more diverse, primarily due to Black neighborhoods experiencing an increase in the White population. Simultaneously, some destination neighborhoods may become less diverse, as movers tend to concentrate in neighborhoods with similar minority concentrations. Empirically, it has been observed that segregation by MSA, measured according to the dissimilarity index, has shown a small reduction from 2010 to 2020, with a 2.4 percentage point decrease on average in the 50 largest MSAs (Ferreira, et al., p. 4).

The study also delves into the effects of gentrification on residents, with a particular focus on those originating from Black neighborhoods. It highlights that gentrification shocks correlate with a noteworthy uptick in the proportion of households relocating to neighborhoods deemed "top 1" and "top 2" by a neighborhood network index. In this context, the neighborhood network index represents a measure used in the study to assess the level of connectivity or desirability of neighborhoods in terms of residential mobility. For example, the study found that such gentrification shocks led to a 1.2 percentage point increase in households moving to the "top 1" neighborhoods and a 1.5 percentage point increase in those moving to the "top 2" neighborhoods. Notably, the impact of gentrification on residential mobility appears more pronounced among Black households residing in Black neighborhoods, underscoring the variations in how gentrification affects different racial groups (Ferreira, et al., p. 3).

Discussion

Gentrification has emerged as a contentious and multifaceted issue within the realm of urban planning and politics. While some acknowledge its role in urban revitalization, there is growing recognition that gentrification has spurred the ongoing displacement of Black residents in the District and cities across the country. The National Census Bureau reported that 57,995 Black residents were displaced

from the D.C. between 2000 and 2020, coinciding with a substantial increase in property values, income, and sales taxes, which surged from \$3.1 billion in 2000 to \$8.1 billion in 2020 (Politico, *Washington Was an Icon of Black Political Power. Then Came Gentrification*). This underscores the challenges posed by rising housing costs and the pressing need to address the implications of gentrification on minority and lower-income communities.

One crucial step in fostering community development and mitigating the adverse effects of gentrification is the creation and preservation of opportunities for affordable and safe housing. A report from the Federal Department of Housing and Urban Development brought to light the stark deficiencies in D.C.'s current affordable housing in 2020, ranging from issues like mold infestations, peeling paint, failure to safeguard tenants' personal information, and improper rent calculations (District of Columbia Housing Authority (DC001) Assessment 1-72).

The responsibility lies with the city's Board of Housing to protect these residents by taking prompt action to incentivize developers to construct mixed-income housing, encompassing both market-rate and affordable units. Developers may be more inclined to embrace such arrangements rather than having their entire projects purchased by the Board. Mixed-income housing offers high-quality homes, services, amenities, safety, and proximity to better schools and job opportunities. These elements are vital in safeguarding and serving minoritized and lower-income residents, regardless of their income levels.

Notable initiatives within the District serve as examples of success in this endeavor. For instance, in 2018, the private housing firm Jair Lynch initiated a project in the historic Takoma neighborhood, delivering 129 units of affordable housing for families and individuals, including 24 permanent supportive housing units for those transitioning from homelessness. This project received a capital award of \$13 million from the Housing Production Trust Fund/Housing Trust Fund in 2019 (Menelzon). Such efforts exemplify the potential for success in promoting affordable housing, but they must be seen as integral components of a broader strategy.

Financial incentives, such as tax breaks, can be leveraged to motivate developers to incorporate affordable housing units, making these developments financially viable and beneficial. The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program, with its record of subsidizing over 47,500 projects and 3.31 million housing units nationwide (Stamm, *An Overview of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit*), provides a promising model for Washington, D.C. These strategies are pivotal in addressing the pressing need for affordable housing and preserving housing opportunities for lower-income and minority residents.

Furthermore, the Board of Housing can play a pivotal role in supporting lower-income residents and facilitating their economic development by investing in workforce development programs. Empowering lower-income residents begins with equipping them with the necessary tools and skills to secure higher-paying jobs. Collaborations with training programs, community colleges, employers, and nonprofits can facilitate job training, apprenticeships, and other services. According to a report from the D.C. Department of Employment Services, individuals who participate in workforce development programs experience higher employment rates and increased earnings. For instance, 72% of program participants secured employment within six months of program completion, and 35% of participants witnessed a 35% increase in their earnings. By alleviating the burden of securing sustainable employment, Washington, D.C.'s lower-income families and communities can experience enhanced security, stability, and greater economic mobility.

It is essential to emphasize that while these strategies offer promise in addressing the challenges brought about by gentrification, they are not exhaustive solutions. Gentrification is a complex issue, and addressing its multifaceted effects on communities of color and lower-income communities requires a comprehensive, open-minded approach. The strategies discussed here can serve as critical components of a broader strategy aimed at creating more inclusive, equitable, and resilient communities in Washington, D.C.

Cultural preservation is essential for maintaining the unique identities and historical significance of communities and societies, ensuring the continuity of traditions and heritage. It fosters cultural

diversity, supports economic growth through tourism, and plays a role in education, human rights, and social justice. Oral history initiatives such as “Remembering U Street” foster a sense of honor to Shaw’s past community and culture, and provide vital perspectives of longtime residents that data sets and studies cannot offer. These initiatives can act to fill in the gaps in history, as much U Street’s history has been erased, overlooked, or lost in the neighborhood’s demographic shift.

The District of Columbia Planning Office manages national historic preservation programs within the District. These programs are guided by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 and receive support through an annual grant from the National Park Service. In 2020, the District initiated its annual historic preservation plan, titled "Preserving for Progress." In their subsequent success report, the District highlights several key strategies aimed at preserving and promoting the rich historical and cultural heritage of the nation's capital.

One of the primary objectives of this preservation plan is to create a comprehensive and easily accessible source of basic historical information for all city properties. As an outcome of this objective, HistoryQuest DC was fully developed, mapping all 127,000 DC buildings online and providing users with essential historical data, such as construction dates and associated individuals. This digital platform facilitates a visual representation of the city's historical growth, ensuring that the historical legacy of each property is well-documented.

Another critical objective is to broaden public awareness of DC's historic sites and offer new perspectives on the city's history and culture. The outcome includes the creation of detailed community guides for Ward 3 and Ward 6, emphasizing local stories and supporting landmark designations. Grant awards from the National Park Service are further enabling studies of Chinese and Korean communities and women's suffrage, contributing to a more inclusive understanding of the city's diverse history.

The preservation plan strives to conduct a clear and understandable designation process for historic properties, prioritizing predictability for owners and communities. As a result, nearly fifty new

historic landmarks and three historic districts have been designated, recognizing the rich social and cultural history of the District while protecting sites of civic importance.

The plan also underscores the importance of strengthening programs and partnerships that engage the public in exploring community history and significant places. This has led to the establishment of a new DC History Center and the transformation of the Martin Luther King Library, both of which have received widespread acclaim. These centers are not only hubs of creative learning but also facilitate the sharing of historical collections online, meeting the growing demand for public access to the city's history.

Furthermore, the preservation plan aims to increase public advocacy for historic preservation and cultural heritage programs. Preservation groups have worked diligently to enhance their tools and garner increased support and funding for preservation initiatives. New partnerships and a focus on broader dialogue have brought capacity audiences together to reflect on critical historical moments, celebrate cultural diversity, and confront the legacy of institutional racism, according to the report.

Lastly, the plan places a strong emphasis on engaging the public in archaeology and making artifact collections available for research and public enjoyment. Public archaeology programs have inspired residents across the District, culminating in the creation of an archaeological collections archive at the modernized MLK Library. This archive will serve as a learning center, advancing local heritage programs for scholars and history enthusiasts while preserving the rich archaeological heritage of the city.

Community support can be observed in the District through nonprofits as opposed to a widespread government initiative. The Black Swan Academy is a non-profit organization in the Washington, D.C. area that “concentrates its efforts on empowering Black youth through civic engagement and leadership” (*Black Swan Academy*). Nonprofits like BSA play a vital role in cultural preservation and community outreach in Washington, D.C., especially in the face of the challenges posed by gentrification. BSA's after-school programs empower middle and high school-aged youth to explore their self-identity, engage in community service, and advocate for positive systemic change. By fostering a sense of “pride, purpose, and power” in young leaders, nonprofits like BSA contribute to the

preservation of cultural diversity and the empowerment of historically marginalized communities. Through critical discussions, service activities, and the development of youth-led agendas, these organizations ensure that the voices of the community are heard and that the impacts of gentrification are mitigated. Nonprofits like BSA serve as essential agents of change; conducting research, building coalitions, advocating for equitable policies, and working to eliminate structural barriers; all of which are crucial for preserving the unique cultural fabric of the city and ensuring that communities have the resources and support needed to thrive amidst the challenges of gentrification.

In conclusion, the history of the U Street neighborhood in Washington, D.C., serves as a poignant case study that highlights the complex interplay of cultural significance, economic empowerment, and the challenges posed by urban renewal and gentrification. Through the lens of U Street, we have witnessed how a once-thriving hub of Black culture and community faced both triumphs and tribulations over the decades. The resilience of its residents, the impact of urban renewal projects, and the consequences of gentrification have all left an indelible mark on the neighborhood.

As we reflect on the findings of this research, it becomes evident that there is a pressing need for further exploration in several key areas. There is a need for continued research into the preservation of cultural heritage within rapidly changing urban environments. Understanding the strategies and policies that can effectively safeguard cultural identities and histories while encouraging economic empowerment is crucial.

It is imperative to delve deeper into the consequences of gentrification, particularly in terms of housing disparities and community displacement. Future research may aim to provide more comprehensive insights into the long-term effects on residents' access to affordable housing and the potential solutions to address these issues.

Moreover, exploring the role of community-led initiatives, grassroots organizations, and government policies in mitigating the adverse effects of gentrification and fostering inclusive urban

development is an area ripe for further investigation. Collaborative efforts to support marginalized communities and preserve the unique fabric of neighborhoods like U Street should be a central focus.

This research serves as a stepping stone, shedding light on the challenges faced by communities of color in rapidly gentrifying urban areas, and the potential for cultural heritage preservation and equitable urban development. It is my hope that future studies will build upon this foundation, offering more comprehensive insights and actionable recommendations to create inclusive and culturally rich urban spaces for all residents.

Works Cited

- Ferreira, F. V., Kenney, J. H., & Smith, B. (2023, July). Household Mobility, Networks, and Gentrification of Minority Neighborhoods in the US. [Working Paper]. National Bureau of Economic Research, https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w31480/w31480.pdf.
- Menelzon, Toiann A. "Entwine Jair Lynch." Jair Lynch, Jair Lynch Real Estate Partners, 26 Jan. 2023, jairlynch.com/project/entwine/.
- Schuetz, Jenny, et al. "The Washington, DC Region Needs More Housing, and Satellite Data Can Tell Us Where to Build." Brookings, The Brookings Institute, 9 Mar. 2022, www.brookings.edu/articles/the-washington-dc-region-needs-more-housing-and-satellite-data-can-tell-us-where-to-build/.

Smith, Kathryn S. "Remembering U Street." *Washington History*, vol. 9, no. 2, 1997, pp. 28–53. JSTOR, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40073294>. Accessed 31 Oct. 2023.

Stamm, Everett. "An Overview of the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit." Tax Foundation, 22 Sept. 2023, taxfoundation.org/low-income-housing-tax-credit-lihtc/.

Thomas, Briana A., and Eleanor Holmes Norton. *Black Broadway in Washington, DC*. Illustrated ed., vol. 3 3, Arcadia Publishing, 2021.

"The History of Ben's Chili Bowl." *Times Magazine*, Ben's Chili Bowl Restaurant, Jan. 2015, time.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/bcb-full-history.pdf.

Tristiani, Nina, et al. "Separate and Unequal Education in 19th Century DC Schools." *HillRag*, Capital Community News, 13 Oct. 2017, www.hillrag.com/2017/03/16/separate-unequal-education-19th-century-dc-schools/.

United States, Congress, Northeast Public Housing Network, and U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. *District of Columbia Housing Authority (DC001) Assessment*, 2022, pp. 1–72.

"U Street Washington, Washington, DC Demographics." U Street Washington, Washington, D.C. Demographics, Point2, 2013, www.point2homes.com/US/Neighborhood/DC/Washington/U-Street-Washington-Demographics.html.

Overly, Steven, et al. "Washington Was an Icon of Black Political Power. Then Came Gentrification." *Politico*, Politico, LLC, 15 Apr. 2022, www.politico.com/news/magazine/2022/04/15/washington-dc-gentrification-black-political-power-00024515.

St. Augustine Catholic Church, St. Augustine Catholic Church, santaugustine-dc.org/. Accessed 30 Oct. 2023.