

# Legacy Admissions

May 24, 2024 • Volume 34 • Issue 18

***Should colleges stop giving preference to children of donors and alumni?***

By Ruth Terry



A Harvard student protests the Supreme Court's 2023 ruling against affirmative action, saying legacy applicants have an unfair advantage in the admissions process. Defenders of the practice say legacy preferences encourage alumni to donate money, time and talent and that it would be counterproductive to those seeking to diversify the student body to end legacy admissions just as applicants of color are beginning to benefit from them. (Getty Images/The Boston Globe/Craig F. Walker)

Children of alumni and donors often get preferential treatment in the admissions process at many colleges and universities, a practice that many argue creates an uneven playing field for others seeking to attend those institutions. Last summer's Supreme Court ruling against race-conscious admissions policies in higher education has reinvigorated debate about legacy preferences.

While the ruling dealt a blow to affirmative action for people of color and many low-income students, some argue that legacy admissions amount to affirmative action for

white, wealthy students and undermine meritocracy and racial diversity, particularly at elite Ivy League institutions. Others, including college administrators, say legacy admissions build community and encourage donations. Since the high court ruling, Democratic and Republican policymakers have introduced or revived bills to disallow the practice, a move that many education policy experts, advocacy organizations and student groups favor. Some universities have already abandoned legacy preferences, while others — including Ivy League institutions Brown, Harvard and Yale — have opted to continue the status quo while reviewing their legacy policies. Experts predict that in the wake of the ban on affirmative action, it will be increasingly difficult for colleges and universities to defend legacy preferences.



Elyse Martin-Smith, a Harvard student and political action chair of the Harvard Black Students Association, is working to eliminate legacy preferences, which she says benefits applicants who are largely white and from wealthy families. (Getty Images/*The Boston Globe*/Barry Chin)

## The Issues

Last August, *The New York Times* ran a video featuring Ivy League legacy admits, students who received special consideration during the admissions process because they were related to alumni. Despite having benefitted from legacy preferences, they now oppose the practice. <sup>1</sup>

“Legacy admissions is affirmative action for the rich,” Yoni Rechtman, a graduate of Amherst College, a prestigious liberal arts college in Massachusetts that abandoned legacy preferences in 2022, said. “If you hear someone talking about limiting who can live in a neighborhood or have a certain job, it is discriminatory. For some reason, in legacy admissions, we hold that up to be virtuous. . . . Ending legacy admissions just seems like a layup for equality.” <sup>2</sup>

But not everyone agrees, including some students and alumni of color. They argue that it’s counterproductive to end the practice just as applicants of color are finally getting to benefit from it in significant numbers. “Banning legacy admissions is not going to stop Ivy League institutions from being white-dominated — but it

---

would stop Black alumni from being able to give their descendants the leg-up long enjoyed by white applicants,” Amanda J. Calhoun, a Black anti-racism educator and Yale alum, wrote in *Newsweek*. “Black alums, and other alums of color, deserve to enjoy that privilege for a few centuries, too.” <sup>3</sup>

The Supreme Court’s June 2023 ruling in *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard*, which effectively banned affirmative action policies at higher education institutions that receive federal funding, has reignited decades-old debate around the fairness of legacy admissions, says James Murphy, deputy director of higher ed policy at Education Reform Now, a left-leaning nonprofit advocacy group that supports public education initiatives and is based in Washington, D.C.

“It gave it an injection of adrenaline,” Murphy says, referring to the effect of the ruling on the debate over legacy admissions. Immediately after the ruling, he says, politicians, including President Biden, were on news shows and social media saying that the end of affirmative action needs to also spell the end of legacy admissions.

Democrats and Republicans have introduced bills at the state and federal levels to do just that.

On March 8, Virginia Gov. Glenn Youngkin signed into law a bill prohibiting legacy admissions at all the state’s public universities. Lawmakers in California, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York and Pennsylvania are calling for similar action, largely with bipartisan support. <sup>4</sup>

At the federal level, last year, Sen. Jeff Merkley, D-Ore., and Rep. Jamaal Bowman, D-N.Y., revived the Fair College Admissions for Students Act, while Sens. Todd Young, R-Ind., and Tim Kaine, D-Va., introduced the Merit-Based Educational Reforms and Institutional Transparency Act (MERIT Act). Both bills would disallow legacy admissions at colleges that receive federal funding. <sup>5</sup>

Opinions for and against for legacy admissions cut across partisan and demographic lines, with conservatives and progressives making similar arguments for and against the practice.

Though no Republicans have yet endorsed the Merkley and Bowman’s bill, some GOP politicians, including Sens. Tim Scott, R-S.C., and Bill Cassidy, R-La., have publicly criticized legacy admissions.

## LEGACY ADMISSIONS


Sen. J.D. Vance, R-Ohio, who graduated from Yale Law School, said admissions ought to be “based on merit, not on who you knew, who your parents were, and what your race is.” <sup>6</sup>

Nationwide, 28 percent of four-year public and private colleges consider legacy in their admissions process — a figure that more than doubles for highly selective schools that admit 25 percent or fewer applicants, according to an Education Reform Now analysis of the most recent National Center for Education Statistics data. <sup>7</sup>

Ivy League schools face the most scrutiny when it comes to legacy admissions because they have so many qualified applicants and admit so few students, Murphy says.

Many supporters of the policy argue that legacy admissions foster a family-like, intergenerational culture that bonds alumni to current and prospective students, staff and the whole institution.

In his 2022 faculty address, Duke University President Vincent Price maintained that legacy admissions are integral part of what made the institution a family. “The idea that you would ban legacy admissions, or ban any particular factor as a consideration, is troublesome,” he continued. <sup>8</sup>



---

“We think it’s an important part of who we are as an institution that creates a community that persists long after somebody graduates,” Princeton President Christopher L. Eisgruber wrote in *The Philadelphia Inquirer* last year. <sup>9</sup>

Some students, as well as university leadership, feel the force of this argument. Catherine Rampell, an opinion columnist at *The Washington Post*, attended Princeton and while a student there wrote a piece for *The Chicago Tribune* in 2006 in which she compared the university to a family whose alumni are kin. “By definition, the academic quality of the student body does not suffer in taking a legacy over an equally-qualified non-legacy, but there is a moral opportunity cost, a disloyalty, in not doing so,” she said. <sup>10</sup>

Even at Amherst college, which eliminated legacy admissions in August 2023 with the goal of advancing equity among its student body, Matt McGann, dean of admissions, acknowledged that some alumni were disappointed with the decision. “I think there were some who felt that, perhaps, it was some retreat from the idea of intergenerational community that we tried to build.” <sup>11</sup>

Others contend that legacy admissions are a win for diversity because they afford less-privileged students access to the same networks as their already well-connected peers, helping them build social and professional capital. And some institutions are trying to reinvent legacy admissions to be more inclusive as an alternative to ending them.

“Consider one of the chief advantages of attending a highly selective liberal arts college: the network,” Steven B. Gerrard, a philosophy professor at Williams College, a liberal arts college in Williamstown, Mass., wrote in *The Washington Post*. While legacy students can turn to family members for career advice and opportunities, a first-generation student can enjoy similar benefits through the alumni network, “gaining through her hard work some of the advantages her privileged classmates simply inherited,” he continued. <sup>12</sup>

Some nonlegacy students support legacy admissions for the same reason.


“Putting non-legacy students in contact with legacy students creates social and professional connections that otherwise might never have occurred,” Anthony DiMauro, a New York University

## LEGACY ADMISSIONS

philosophy major in 2020, wrote. “Gaining access to upper-class professional networks affords non-legacy students the legacy edge. Legacy admits aren’t an affront to equal opportunity — they’re a boon to it.” <sup>13</sup>

Proponents have long argued that legacy admissions present financial benefits to colleges and universities.

“Maintaining a good and loyal relationship with alumni is important for financial reasons,” Rampell wrote in the 2006 opinion piece. “Not only is there an incentive to give money when the school shows loyalty to its alumni, there is also an incentive to stop giving when the school rejects your obviously brilliant kid. Granting extra consideration to a few meritorious legacies diminishes a deterrent to donations.” <sup>14</sup>



In a 2018 report on race-neutral ways to improve campus diversity, a Harvard committee wrote that the financial support from alumni helps fund the financial aid policies that promote the diversity and excellence of the student body. “Although alumni support Harvard for many reasons, the committee is concerned that eliminating any consideration of whether an applicant’s parent attended Harvard or Radcliffe would diminish this vital sense of engagement and support,” the report said. <sup>15</sup>

---

If legacies do encourage donations, Robert Hall, an economics professor at Stanford, said, they allow the school to afford to accept more students. Thus, a place taken by a legacy doesn't necessarily mean one denied to another student. "A limit on favoritism to donors would cut capacity as well as allocating it to top kids," he said. University of Chicago economics professor Robert Shimer agreed, saying "Class size is not fixed. Without donors, class sizes may well be smaller." <sup>16</sup>

However, some studies question whether legacy admissions really affect donations. Opponents say there is little evidence to support this claim. <sup>17</sup>

"The biggest argument in favor of legacy preferences is that they supposedly bring in more money, but it's not clear that's true," Richard Kahlenberg, a policy expert and editor of *Affirmative Action for the Rich: Legacy Preferences in College Admissions*, one of the few scholarly investigations of the topic, said.

A 2022 study found that legacy students were more likely to have "wealthier parents who are materially-positioned to be more generous donors" and to donate more after graduating than nonlegacies. However, earlier research published by Kahlenberg showed no statistically significant relationship between legacy preferences and alumni giving at top universities. <sup>18</sup>

According to the student newspaper *The Harvard Crimson*, which has repeatedly called for Harvard to change its admissions policies to eliminate legacy preferences, the lack of such preferences at MIT, which abandoned the practice in 2006, and Amherst, which announced an end to legacy admissions in 2021, has not deterred fundraising efforts. <sup>19</sup>

Legacy admissions are "basically a shakedown operation where you're telling alumni . . . 'Give more money or your kid won't get some special consideration,' " Kahlenberg says. "I think it's troubling in that respect."

They also may run afoul of IRS rules for tax-deductible donations, Yair Listokin, a tax policy expert and deputy dean of Yale Law School, says.

"Donations to universities are deductible under the tax code," he explains. "It's emphatically not supposed to be fee for service. . . . Donations of alumni, particularly as their kids may or may not be applying to that school, in a world of legacy admissions,

## LEGACY ADMISSIONS

---

that increasingly looks less like a charitable gift and more like a payment for a service, namely, getting your kid into the school.”

But money is not the only way that alumni networks benefit schools.

Those donations can come in the form of time and talent, says Jayson Weingarten, senior consultant at Ivy Coach, a company that helps high-net-worth families navigate the college admissions process. That can mean sitting on boards, attending events and hiring recent graduates. “The school wants to continue benefiting from all of the hard work, all of the power, all of the position, the prestige that alumni have,” he says. Most schools are concerned that eliminating legacy practices would be detrimental to this type of alumni engagement, he says.



Freshmen at Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, Md., line up for a welcome photo. The institution ended legacy admissions in 2020 and the university's president Ron Daniels says since 2013, the percentage of students who have a family connection in the incoming classes decreased from 8.5 to 1.7 percent. (Getty Images/*The Washington Post*/Bill O'Leary)

After decades of more inclusive admission practices, alumni of color at Ivy League and other highly selective schools are finally able to take advantage of legacy preferences within their families, leading some to argue in favor of continuing the practice.

---

“Now you have Black and brown students who have gained access to these institutions — whether it’s because of affirmative action or not,” James Morgan III, a historian and doctoral fellow at George Mason University, says. “When you attack legacy admissions, it’s not just white students” who are affected.

Some Ivy League alumni of color have spoken out against ending legacy admissions as a result.

“After the Supreme Court’s decision last June dismantling affirmative action, this movement to end legacy admissions can be viewed as another blow to ambitious Black children — the ones whose parents were part of the first generation of nonwhites to matriculate at elite institutions in significant numbers,” Nick Chiles, a best-selling author and University of Georgia journalism professor, wrote in *The Hechinger Report*. “We finally made it in the door, and now they are seeking new ways to slam the door behind us.” <sup>20</sup>

However, many opponents of legacy preferences, including legislators, education experts and even Ivy League alumni (some themselves legacies) and college students, argue that they undercut the goals of higher education, overwhelmingly favor rich, white applicants and marginalize a diverse range of people from other backgrounds. <sup>21</sup>

“I think the question is how do you continue to create a culture where education is the goal for every single part of our community?” Scott, the Republican South Carolina senator and Trump vice presidential hopeful, said on “The Faulkner Focus,” a *Fox News* show. “One of the things that Harvard could do to make that even better is to eliminate any legacy programs where they have preferential treatment for legacy kids, not allow for the professors — their kids to come to Harvard as well.” <sup>22</sup>

Kahlenberg says legacy admissions are “anti-meritocratic” because they provide an unearned advantage for already privileged students. Moreover, they are “bad for civil rights, because white people disproportionately benefit from legacy preferences,” he said.

Disaggregated data about the racial composition of legacy students at elite schools is not available, and schools rarely make public their admissions practices, Murphy, of Education Reform Now, says.

However, detailed information about Harvard’s admissions policies revealed during the Supreme Court case shows that 70 percent of the school’s legacy applicants between 2014 and 2019 were white and were almost six times more likely to be admitted as their nonlegacy counterparts. <sup>23</sup>

“While race-neutral on their face, too, these preferences undoubtedly benefit white and wealthy applicants the most,” conservative Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch wrote about legacy admissions in his concurring opinion last year to dismantle affirmative action. <sup>24</sup>

Since the decision, the Department of Education’s Office of Civil Rights (OCR) has opened two investigations to determine whether legacy policies at Harvard and the University of Pennsylvania violate the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by discriminating against students of color. <sup>25</sup>



Virginia state Sen. Schuyler VanValkenburg, a Democrat, sponsored legislation that would prohibit public universities in the state from giving preferential treatment in admissions to applicants related to alumni or donors. The bill passed the Virginia Senate in January 39-0. (AP Photo/Steve Helber)

“The data shows that if you were to eliminate donor and legacy preferences, then the admission rates for applicants of color would increase, and the admission rates for white applicants would

---

decrease,” Michael Kippins, a fellow at Lawyers for Civil Rights, the Boston-based legal advocacy group whose complaint led to the OCR’s investigation of Harvard, says.

For example, he says, if a school’s policy has the effect of limiting or discriminating against people of color, and letting them in at lower rates as a result, that is a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, which prohibits discrimination based on race, color or national origin in programs or activities that receive federal funds.

While opinions vary about the potential impacts of ending legacy preferences, there is scant data to inform actual policymaking, experts say.

The Department of Education only began requiring schools to report whether they consider legacy last year, so no trend data is available. Also, the data are aggregated, making it impossible to analyze legacy admissions against demographic indicators such as race, gender, test scores or GPA. <sup>26</sup>

Information reported to the Common Data Set is only available if individual schools choose to publish the data on their websites. The Common Data Set is populated by a survey compiled by *U.S. News and World Report*, Peterson’s and the College Board, which colleges and universities complete to be considered in those organization’s rankings. <sup>27</sup>

“The few research papers published on legacy preferences typically do not identify the colleges and universities in their data sets, which makes it impossible to hold them accountable,” Murphy wrote in a 2022 issue brief. <sup>28</sup>

The picture is further complicated because schools define and weigh legacy status differently and, in the wake of the Supreme Court’s affirmative action ruling, prominent schools have become even more tight-lipped about their admissions policies, *The Washington Post* reported last year. <sup>29</sup>

“Legacy” typically means immediate family members who are alumni, Weingarten, of Ivy Coach, says, but some schools also include extended family members or donor relationships.

Some schools are looking to revamp what legacy means to be more reparative, rather than eliminating it. For example, at Swarthmore College in Pennsylvania, it is possible to be both a first-generation student and a legacy admit because the school

considers siblings in its legacy admissions process, Jim Bock, the school's dean of admissions, told *The Christian Science Monitor* in 2020. <sup>30</sup>

In a bolder vision of what reparative legacy admissions could look like, in 2016, Georgetown University broadened its definition of legacy status to include the descendants of enslaved Africans who labored at the school.

Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) — institutions formed before the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to create educational and vocational opportunities for Black people — also have a very different relationship to legacy when compared to predominantly white institutions. Except for legislation such as Merkley and Bowman's, which carves out a possible exemption for HBCUs, it is unclear how sweeping bans on legacy preferences might affect them. <sup>31</sup>

"When we talk about legacies in the African American community . . . we're still only one or two generations removed from people who only had access to a grade-school education," Chelsea Holley, admissions director at Spelman College, a historically Black women's college founded in 1881 in Atlanta, told *The New York Times*. "So this idea of privilege being passed down doesn't ring the same for our schools." <sup>32</sup>

Morgan, the George Mason University fellow, was the first person in his family to go to Howard University, a prestigious HBCU in Washington, D.C. He says he would love to see that tradition continue.

"If they decide to go somewhere else, then that's fine," Morgan says of his potential future children. "But if they did go to Howard, and they were able to check that box and say, 'Yes, my father went to Howard,' I would love that. For me, it will be a continuance of that journey."

The benefits conferred by legacy status also vary by institution.

For example, Princeton says it uses legacy as a "tie-breaker" between equally qualified candidates, reported *NJ.com* earlier this year. At Harvard, legacy status is one of many "plus factors that might tip an applicant into Harvard's admitted class." Others include a connection to a wealthy donor or being an athlete, according to Lawyers for Civil Rights. <sup>33</sup>

---

A 2004 article reported that the legacy boost to applicants at elite colleges was then equivalent to that of a 160-point better SAT score. More recently, Opportunity Insights, a policy-focused think tank at Harvard, concluded that legacy applicants at the 12 Ivy League and “Ivy-plus” schools in the study were “nearly four times as likely to be admitted as [nonlegacy] applicants with the same test scores.” <sup>34</sup>

Harvard’s admissions policies becoming public knowledge during the Supreme Court case was a key reason that Lawyers for Civil Rights was able to bring a compelling complaint against them to the OCR, Kippins says, though he suspects legacy practices at Harvard are similar to comparable schools.

While a number of institutions that consider legacy preferences in their admissions process closely guard their admissions data, they likely operate in much the same way as Harvard where white applicants get the majority of the benefit, Kippin says.

Evidence presented during the 2023 Supreme Court case revealed that even within the school’s pool of legacy applicants, Harvard admitted white legacies at higher rates than legacy students of color. <sup>35</sup>

“[T]here should be no scenario where a qualified person of color stares at a rejection letter while a less-qualified white applicant gets to celebrate being the third or fourth in line in their family to attend a university,” Kippins says.

But according to Jeremiah Quinlan, Yale University’s dean of undergraduate admissions, ending legacy preferences would do little to boost admissions for applicants from less-privileged backgrounds.

“We are skeptical that a ban on legacy preferences in admissions would have a material effect on representation of low-income, first-generation or under-represented students,” he said in testimony against the Connecticut bill aimed at eradicating legacy preferences in college admissions. “Indeed, Yale has already realized a dramatic increase in the representation of these students on our campus in the past decade, without eliminating other admissions preferences,” through need-based financial aid and recruiting initiatives aimed at increasing the number of low-income and first-generation students. <sup>36</sup>

Data shows most Americans view legacy preferences unfavorably.

A 2022 survey by Pew Research found 75 percent of Americans said whether an applicant's relative was an alumni should not be a factor in admissions decisions. That same year, 90 percent of college admissions officers opposed the practice. <sup>37</sup>

“The idea that this practice has gone on for decades is, frankly, untenable,” Kippins says. The Supreme Court's decision to end affirmative action in higher education admissions makes it especially important to break down the barriers, such as legacy admissions and donor legacy preferences, that make it hard for people of color to get into some of these schools, he says.

Since 2015, more than 100 colleges and universities have abandoned legacy preferences, with universities including Carnegie Mellon and Wesleyan dropping them soon after the Supreme Court case last summer.

“An applicant's connection to a Wesleyan graduate indicates little about that applicant's ability to succeed at the University, meaning that legacy status has played a negligible role in our admission process for many years,” the school's president, Michael Roth, said in a statement last July. “Nevertheless, in the wake of the recent Supreme Court decision regarding affirmative action, we believe it important to formally end admission preference for ‘legacy applicants.’ ” <sup>38</sup>

Still, many schools are continuing their legacy preferences, including the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, which was at the center of the Supreme Court decision. *The Washington Post* reported that more than 100 selective schools, including the eight Ivies, still consider applicants' legacy status. Many schools declined to comment on the issue or said only that they are reviewing their policies. <sup>39</sup> ■

## Background

### Roots of Legacy Admissions

Though many Ivy League institutions were founded on principles of equality, in practice, historically marginalized minority groups did not have the same access to higher education as affluent, white students who benefited from preferential admissions policies. <sup>40</sup>

---

Legacy admissions has its roots in antisemitism and religious intolerance, as a gatekeeping measure to keep Jewish people out of higher education, *National Geographic* reported in July 2023. <sup>41</sup>

The eight Ivy League schools founded in the colonial and pre-Civil War era primarily recruited white, Protestant men from select independent and boarding schools, which led to racially and religiously homogeneous student bodies. <sup>42</sup>

After the Civil War, educational opportunities at all levels expanded for free and formerly enslaved Black Americans. Schools for Black children proliferated during the Reconstruction Period following the Civil War. <sup>43</sup>

Community leaders also established the first HBCUs to provide educational opportunities and upward social mobility for formerly enslaved and free Black people. <sup>44</sup>

At predominantly white Ivy Leagues, admissions standards were “relatively lax,” with school affiliation being a key method to establish and cement elite social networks and class hierarchy through the early 1900s. <sup>45</sup>

Between 1875 and the end of World War I, secondary education curricula and college admissions criteria became increasingly formalized. In 1900, the College Board was created to establish learning standards for secondary school students. In 1926, it offered the first SAT, which stood for Scholastic Aptitude Test, to assess student knowledge in selected subjects deemed necessary for success in college. SAT scores became one of many admissions criteria used to evaluate applicants to four-year colleges and universities. <sup>46</sup>

Although it was a step towards using objective metrics, critics have questioned the impartiality of the SAT as a measure of academic capacity, noting that Carl Brigham, a psychology professor at Princeton and a eugenicist who believed in the intellectual inferiority of Black people, helped develop the test, according to the National Education Association, the public school teachers’ union. <sup>47</sup>

Still, mass education reforms, an overall more educated populace and an influx of Jewish immigrants continued to increase the number and diversity of applicants to Ivy League schools. In the face of rapid demographic change, administrators created legacy admissions as “one of many mechanisms that social and

religious elites used to perpetuate Anglo-Protestant dominance” in education, Purdue University sociologists Deborah L. Coe and James D. Davidson wrote in 2011.” <sup>48</sup>

“Charged with protecting their institutional interests, the presidents of the Big Three [Harvard, Yale, and Princeton] wanted the latitude to admit the dull sons of major donors and to exclude the brilliant but unpolished children of immigrants, whose very presence prompted privileged young Anglo-Saxon men — the probable leaders and donors of the future — to seek their education elsewhere,” sociologist and University of California, Berkeley, professor Jerome Karabel, wrote in *The Chosen: The Hidden History of Admission and Exclusion at Harvard, Yale, and Princeton*. <sup>49</sup>

David Brooks, a Jewish, center-right *New York Times* columnist, cast those leaders’ motivations in a more nuanced light in his 2005 review of *The Chosen*. “The people who ran these schools weren’t anti-Semitic reactionaries; they were progressives,” he wrote. “They believed in democracy and inclusion. They respected scholarly excellence. . . . Yet on the other hand, they felt that their job was to do more than pump information into the heads of hard-studying brainiacs. They sensed that mediocre students like [U.S. President Franklin D.] Roosevelt really did possess a set of virtues that needed to be protected and cherished.” <sup>50</sup>

Dartmouth College became one of the first schools to institute a legacy policy to admit “all properly qualified sons of Dartmouth alumni and Dartmouth college officers” into the Class of 1926. Princeton also started legacy admissions in 1922. <sup>51</sup>

The number of applicants to four-year colleges spiked again after World War II. More than 8 million veterans went to college on the G.I. Bill — an educational benefit for returning servicemen aimed at helping them reenter the workforce, but one not available in practice to many Black soldiers — within seven years of its enactment. <sup>52</sup>

Other factors underlying the diversification of the U.S. college population included cheaper transportation costs and geographic mobility, increased standardized testing and formerly male-only institutions beginning to enroll women. Together, these shifts “enabled the recruitment of highly talented students from across the nation,” to colleges and universities, according to a 2003 working paper from the National Bureau of Economic Research.

---

By 1950, college enrollment at U.S. colleges and universities reached 2.7 million, nearly double the number of students a decade prior. <sup>53</sup>

Amid this surge in enrollment, students and alumni within the Ivy League were already questioning the fairness of legacy preferences in admissions, Murphy says.

Alumni argued with each other in a Princeton alumni magazine in the 1950s over whether legacy admissions should exist, Murphy says. Even though the university had a largely white and wealthy population, some alumni still favored abandoning the admissions policy.

In 1966, R. Inslee Clark, dean of admissions at Yale, reduced the weight of legacy preferences to bring “the admissions rate of legacy applicants closer to that of non-legacy applicants,” *The New York Times* reported. <sup>54</sup>



Protesters rally in front of the Massachusetts State House in Boston, in 1977, against a California Supreme Court decision saying the state’s university system for guaranteeing Black people entry to the medical school discriminated against white people. The U.S. Supreme Court overturned the decision in 1978. (Getty Images/*The Boston Globe*/Joe Runci)

Alumni who wanted to maintain the racial and socio-economic status quo decried the decision. Conservative pundit William F. Buckley, Jr., was one such champion of the sentiment, lamenting that a “Mexican-American from El Paso High with identical scores on the achievement test . . . has a better chance of being admitted to Yale than Jonathan Edwards the Sixteenth from Saint Paul’s School.” <sup>55</sup>

Landmark legislation in the 1960s, including the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Higher Education Act of 1965, promoted educational opportunities for women and people of color and created financial opportunities for people from poorer backgrounds, respectively. <sup>56</sup>

On June 28, 1978, the landmark Supreme Court case *Regents of the University of California v. Bakke* ruled 8-1 that schools could employ affirmative action policies and consider “racial background as one of many holistic factors in admissions decisions.” <sup>57</sup>

However, “[I]n 1978, the Supreme Court justified affirmative action not as reparations for a terrible past, but with the argument that diversity promotes ‘cross-racial understanding and the breaking down of racial stereotypes,’ ” *The Economist* wrote. “It was always odd that affirmative action was crafted for the benefit of black students’ white peers.” <sup>58</sup>

Since then, Black, Hispanic and Asian college enrollment has increased at least 14 percentage points for each group. <sup>59</sup>

While some affirmative action critics worried that white applicants could lose coveted university spots to minority applicants, it was more likely that they’d lose a spot to a legacy, John K. Wilson, author of *The Myth of Political Correctness: The Conservative Attack on Higher Education*, wrote in 1995. But at the time there was “no crusade against legacies, especially not among the educated elite of affirmative action critics who are its beneficiaries.” <sup>60</sup>

In 2003, Sen. Ted Kennedy, D-Mass., introduced legislation that would have required colleges and universities to report detailed demographic information about legacy admits. The bill ultimately failed. That same year, Sen. John Edwards, D-N.C., also a candidate for president, voiced his opposition to legacy admissions on the campaign trail. <sup>61</sup>

A growing body of research in subsequent years began to shed light on the impact of legacy preferences in elite college

---

admissions. A 2009 paper revealed that legacy applicants at 30 elite institutions in the study were three times more likely to be admitted than nonlegacies. <sup>62</sup>

The following year, *Affirmative Action for the Rich: Legacy Preferences in College Admissions*, the scholarly investigation edited by Kahlenberg, was published. <sup>63</sup>

While more than 100 institutions have abandoned legacy admissions since 2015, many others still rely on it. More recently, legislators and policy experts have increasingly called for an end to legacy preferences at the state or federal rather than individual institution level.

In 2019, California State Rep. Phil Ting, a Democrat, introduced legislation to ban legacy preferences at schools enrolled in the CalGrant financial aid program, which offers need-based tuition coverage for eligible California students. Three years later, Merkley and Bowman introduced their bill in Congress. Both bills were revived after the Supreme Court's June 2023 ruling against affirmative action.



Assemblyman Phil Ting discusses a proposed package of bills responding to a major college admissions scandal, during a news conference in 2019 in Sacramento, Calif. Ting and other assemblymembers unveiled other measures setting rules for special admissions and banning preferential admissions for students related to donors or alumni. (AP Photo/Rich Pedroncelli)

Anticipating the high court ruling against affirmative action, in early 2023, Kahlenberg proposed an alternative admissions model that would end both racial and legacy preferences and give a boost to socio-economically disadvantaged students. “The case for Kahlenberg’s proposal gets stronger every year,” *New York Times* columnist Brooks wrote, referring to the model. “If the Supreme Court ditches racial preferences it becomes overwhelming.” <sup>64</sup> ■

## Current Situation

### Government Actions

The Supreme Court’s ruling in *Students for Fair Admissions* paved the way for a wave of proposals to end legacy admissions.

Prompted by Biden, last September the Department of Education published a report recommending that schools remove legacy admissions to improve diversity. <sup>65</sup>

The OCR is also currently investigating whether legacy practices at Harvard and the University of Pennsylvania violate Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, which prohibits institutions that receive federal funding “from discriminating in their programs and activities on the basis of race,” Kippins, of Lawyers for Civil Rights, says. <sup>66</sup>

Also at the federal level, two congressional bills with different strategies for ending legacy admissions are awaiting action.

Kahlenberg says one approach is to deny federal funding to institutions that have legacy admissions policies. “There’s another version which says you can’t get accredited,” he says.

Bowman, the cosponsor of one of the bills, said the Supreme Court’s decision, which will “see colleges across the country get even richer and whiter than they already are,” makes the legislation even more necessary. <sup>67</sup>

If passed, Merkley and Bowman’s bill would amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to disallow schools that use legacy preferences from participating in federal student aid programs. <sup>68</sup>

---

It would also allow the Secretary of Education to make a special exception for legacy admissions at HBCUs and tribal colleges that serve Native American communities, “if the institution demonstrates that the preferential treatment is in the best interest of students who have been historically underrepresented in higher education.” <sup>69</sup>

Young and Kaine introduced their MERIT Act last November, which would expand the Higher Education Act to include new accreditation standards that preclude legacy admissions. <sup>70</sup>

State legislators are also advancing legislation against legacy preferences.

Two months prior to the Supreme Court ruling, Massachusetts representatives Simon Cataldo and Pavel Payano, both Democrats, introduced a bill to impose a tax on schools that use legacy preferences. The tax levied on Harvard alone could reach \$100 million. The bill is awaiting a hearing in the state Senate. <sup>71</sup>

The Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Massachusetts voiced opposition to the bill. <sup>72</sup>



President Biden speaks at the White House in June 30 as Education Secretary Miguel Cardona looks on. In the wake of a Supreme Court decision that removes race from the admissions process, Biden suggested that colleges should rethink legacy admissions. (AP Photo/Evan Vucci)

“Admissions policies used by our institutions are as varied and diverse as the institutions themselves,” association president Rob McCarron told *Inside Higher Ed*. “Any effort that seeks to impose a punitive and likely unconstitutional tax on a college’s

endowment is concerning and reflects that many do not understand exactly what a college endowment is, what it is not, and how it can be used.” <sup>73</sup>

Though he prefers an outright ban, Kahlenberg says there was “an elegant nature to that proposal, because it was taking money from institutions that were discriminating based on ancestry and giving it to community colleges, who really need the funds.”

Anticipating the eventual negative impact of the Supreme Court affirmative action ruling on legacy admissions, in July 2023, Pennsylvania state Sen. Anthony Williams, a Democrat, sent a memorandum asking fellow lawmakers to consider similar legislation. <sup>74</sup>

In the first legislative sessions of this year, lawmakers in multiple states took action to dismantle legacy admissions.

Democrats in New York introduced legislation currently in the committees of both houses of the state legislature, which would classify legacy policies at institutions across the state as “discriminatory and inequitable.” <sup>75</sup>

The New York Civil Liberties Union endorsed the bill, while the New York’s Commission on Independent Colleges and Universities reversed its previous position against legislative efforts to ban legacy admissions. <sup>76</sup>

“While legacy admission has been an important recruitment tool for some New York colleges, we recognize the public’s perception that the practice also has the effect of expanding privilege instead of opportunity,” Commission President Lola Brabham said soon after the Supreme Court decision. <sup>77</sup>

In a show of bipartisan support, Maryland delegates overwhelming passed legislation, now awaiting action in the Senate, that would prohibit legacy preferences at the state’s public and private universities beginning in July. <sup>78</sup>

A similar bill was introduced in the Minnesota Senate this year. <sup>79</sup>

In California, this year Assemblyman Phil Ting, D-San Francisco, reintroduced a 2019 bill that would bar private schools such as Stanford, the University of Southern California and Santa Clara University — the schools which, statewide, currently provide the greatest advantage for legacies — from taking part in the CalGrant financial aid program that awards full-tuition coverage for students in need. In April, the bill passed the state Assembly and was referred to the Senate. <sup>80</sup>

---

Connecticut's bipartisan bill S.B. 203, which would prohibit schools from asking applicants about their legacy status, drew criticism from Quinlan, Yale's dean, who said it "would undermine the autonomy of colleges and universities in Connecticut, public or private, religious-affiliated or secular, to shape their campus culture and community in accordance with their different missions and academic judgment." The bill failed to advance in the state Senate on May 2. <sup>81</sup>

## University Actions

Universities are under increased scrutiny and facing mounting pressure from the public, alumni, students and faculty to end legacy admissions.

Student groups and faculty at Cornell, Tufts and Yale have recently passed resolutions calling for an end to legacy preferences at their schools. Students at Brown are mobilizing around #LeaveYourLegacy, a national campaign against legacy admissions spearheaded by EdMobilizer, an advocacy group focused on improving higher education opportunities for first-generation and lower-income students. <sup>82</sup>

"This is the moment for universities to change behavior," Kahlenberg says. "And I think it's likely that many universities will end legacy preferences or be forced to by legislation."

Amid the controversy, Carnegie Mellon, the University of Maryland, the University of Pittsburgh, Virginia Tech and Wesleyan University have chosen to voluntarily eliminate legacy preferences. <sup>83</sup>

"If we're doing all these other things to increase diversity, especially in light of the court decision, and we still said, 'Yes, we can give alumni a preference,' that would make us hypocrites," Roth, Wesleyan's president, said of the first prestigious school to phase out legacy preferences after the Supreme Court ruling. <sup>84</sup>

Though they are currently continuing legacy preferences, Brown, Dartmouth, Harvard and Yale say they are reviewing existing their policies. <sup>85</sup>

Brown spokesperson Brian Clark says the university will do a deep dive into the data analysis and consider such things as community input. "Those will help unpack a range of complex questions that have emerged and help Brown determine the best

way to move forward with a policy that upholds the University's commitments to excellence, access and diversity," he says.

Administrators at Yale, where legacies comprise 11 percent of the 2027 class, a slight percentage decrease from the previous two years, are also examining their legacy strategy. <sup>86</sup>

"We are trying to ask, 'Is it getting in the way of diversifying our applicant pool, or is it not?' " Yale president Peter Salovey said in a panel discussion last October regarding legacy admissions. "And then we will make the decision on the basis of that, rather than what the political pressure is." <sup>87</sup> ■

## Outlook

### Leaving Legacy Admissions

With affirmative action no longer a viable strategy for creating diverse college campuses, some experts forecast that legacy admissions will soon be a thing of the past.

"Universities felt very good about themselves on the issue of race because they were using race-conscious admissions. Now that's gone," Murphy says. "The issue of legacy preferences has been elevated, and universities are finding themselves on the wrong side of a civil rights issue. I think that goes against their self-image. I think it will be particularly difficult to sustain."

Should the OCR investigations into Harvard and University of Pennsylvania conclude that their legacy policies violate Title VI, it will likely have implications for other institutions, Kippins, of Lawyers for Civil Rights, says.

The Department of Education has broad powers to enact and enforce regulations barring discrimination in higher education, including rules dictating that legacy preferences meet certain requirements or be banned altogether because of their historical impact on people of color, Kippins says.

---

Murphy says he believes that if proposed federal and state bills ever reached the floor for a vote, or if they were embedded into larger legislative packages, they would pass with bipartisan support.

Still, some experts predict that pressure for colleges and universities to end legacy admissions will continue to mount, particularly if admissions data show a drop in enrollment by people of color.

Kahlenberg says many universities will report their racial demographics for the admitted student class this spring, the first since affirmative action was disallowed by the Supreme Court. “And if there’s a fall in racial diversity, then the pressure will increase dramatically to end legacy preferences,” he says. “In light of the Supreme Court decision, I think they’re even harder to defend than they used to be.”

Despite supporters’ consistent refrain that ending legacy preferences will harm alumni donations, Roth said he believes the opposite will be true.

“If I thought I couldn’t raise money because of this [ending legacy admissions], I would have to find a different line of work, because this is the right thing to do,” Roth said, adding that he thinks Wesleyan alumni would be pleased to support an institution that aligns with their values. <sup>88</sup>

Given its prestige and sizable endowment, “to suggest that people will not apply to, affiliate themselves with, or donate to Harvard simply because it eliminated Donor and Legacy Preferences strains logic,” Lawyers for Civil Rights wrote in its complaint to OCR against the university. <sup>89</sup>

The controversy over legacy admissions at Ivy League schools and other elite, predominantly white institutions may become more irrelevant to Black students, who are expected to increasingly apply to HBCUs in the post-affirmative action era. <sup>90</sup>

“Black students have quietly slowly rediscovered HBCUs . . . not just because of legacy admissions,” Morgan, of George Mason University, says. He said he believes that George Floyd’s murder, controversy around teaching Black history and cost — HBCUs tend to be more affordable, according to U.S. News and World Report — are all contributing factors. “People want to go to places where they feel safe.” <sup>91</sup>

Still, among nation's elite, predominantly white institutions, experts caution that eradicating legacy preferences may not be a panacea for inequality in higher education.

“The way to solve the exclusionary practices present in many elite institutions is not to eliminate legacy admissions, especially now that there are more people of color than ever before who are legacies,” Calhoun, the Black anti-racism educator and Yale alum, wrote in *Newsweek*. “It is to add policies that redistribute the wealth and privileges that advantage wealthy white applicants over others.” <sup>92</sup>

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> “We Benefited From Legacy Admissions. And We Want It to End,” *The New York Times*, Aug. 29, 2023, <https://www.nytimes.com/video/opinion/100000009027725/legacy-admissions-college-discrimination.html>.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*; Scott Jaschik, “Without a Preference, Amherst Legacy Admits Fell from 11% to 6%,” *Inside Higher Ed*, June 12, 2023, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/admissions/traditional-age/2023/06/12/without-preference-amherst-legacy-admits-fell-11-percent>.

<sup>3</sup> Amanda J. Calhoun, “Opinion: I’m a Black Yale Alum. I Support Legacy Admissions,” *Newsweek*, May 5, 2022, <https://www.newsweek.com/im-black-yale-alum-i-support-legacy-admissions-opinion-1703457>.

<sup>4</sup> Liam Knox, “Are the Legacy Dominoes Finally Falling?” *Inside Higher Ed*, Jan. 29, 2024, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/admissions/traditional-age/2024/01/29/state-bans-legacy-preferences-gain-bipartisan-steam>; Janet W. Lee, “Virginia Has Banned Legacy Admissions at Its Public Colleges,” *NPR*, March 11, 2024, <https://www.npr.org/2024/03/11/1237630465/virginia-legacy-admissions-college>; Liam Knox, “Maryland House Passes Bill Banning Legacy Admissions,” *Inside Higher Ed*, Feb. 19, 2024, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/quick-takes/2024/02/19/maryland-house-passes-bill-banning-legacy-admissions>; Feven Gerezgiher, “Proposed Bill Would Ban Legacy Admissions at All Minnesota Colleges,” *MPR News*, March 12, 2024, <https://www.mprnews.org/story/2024/03/12/proposed-bill-would-ban-legacy-admissions-at-all-minnesota-colleges>; and Paige Rawiszer, “Pa. Senator Proposes State-Wide Ban on Legacy Admissions — Including at Penn,” *The DP*, Aug. 30, 2023, <https://www.thedp.com/article/2023/08/penn-pennsylvania-state-senate-legacy-admissions>.

---

<sup>5</sup> “The Fair College Admissions for Students Act,” news release, Office of Jeff Merkley, March 25, 2024, <https://www.jeffmerkley.com/2024/03/25/fair-college-admissions-students-act/>; “Rep. Jamaal Bowman, Sen. Jeff Merkley Reintroduce Bill to Ban Legacy Admissions,” news release, Office of Congressman Jamaal Bowman, July 26, 2023, <https://bowman.house.gov/2023/7/news-rep-jamaal-bowman-sen-jeff-merkley-reintroduce-bill-to-ban-legacy-admissions>; “Young, Kaine Introduce Bill to End Legacy Admissions,” news release, Office of Sen. Todd Young, Nov. 7, 2023, <https://www.young.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/young-kaine-introduce-bill-to-end-legacy-admissions/>.

<sup>6</sup> Igor Bobic, “Republicans Question Legacy Admissions at Top Colleges,” *HuffPost*, July 27, 2023, [https://www.huffpost.com/entry/legacy-admissions-affirmative-action\\_n\\_64c181a9e4b08cd259de64f5](https://www.huffpost.com/entry/legacy-admissions-affirmative-action_n_64c181a9e4b08cd259de64f5).

<sup>7</sup> James Murphy, “The Future of Fair Admissions, Issue Brief 4: Legacy Admissions Update,” *Education Reform Now*, December 2023, <https://edreformnow.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/FFA-Brief-4-Legacy-Admissions-Update.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> Adway S Wadekar, “President Price Discusses Duke’s Centennial, Legacy Admissions, DKU in Annual Address to Academic Council,” *The Chronicle*, March 18, 2022, <https://www.dukechronicle.com/article/2022/03/duke-university-academic-council-centennial-2024-legacy-admissions-early-decision-russia-ukraine-sciences-humanities>.

<sup>9</sup> Susan Snyder, “Colleges are bracing for a court decision on affirmative action. Here’s what Princeton’s president is doing to prepare,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, Jan. 25, 2023, <https://www.inquirer.com/news/affirmative-action-supreme-court-princeton-christopher-eisgruber-20230125.html>.

<sup>10</sup> Catherine Rampell, “Standing Up for Legacy Admissions,” *Chicago Tribune*, Dec. 12, 2006, <https://www.chicagotribune.com/2006/12/20/standing-up-for-legacy-admissions/>.

<sup>11</sup> Tessa Stuart, “What Really Happens When You End Legacy Admissions?” *Rolling Stone*, Aug. 12, 2023, <https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/politics-features/affirmative-action-havard-legacy-admissions-1234804837/>.

<sup>12</sup> Steven B. Gerrard, “Actually, Legacy Admissions Are Good. Here’s Why,” *The Washington Post*, Aug. 21, 2023, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2023/08/21/legacy-admissions-colleges-diversity/>.

<sup>13</sup> Anthony DiMauro, “I’m Not a Legacy Student, but I Think Getting Rid of Legacy Admissions Is a Terrible Idea,” *RealClearEducation*, Jan. 27, 2020, [https://www.realcleareducation.com/articles/2020/01/27/im\\_not\\_a\\_legacy\\_student\\_but\\_i\\_think\\_getting\\_rid\\_of\\_legacy\\_admits\\_is\\_a\\_terrible\\_idea\\_110387.html](https://www.realcleareducation.com/articles/2020/01/27/im_not_a_legacy_student_but_i_think_getting_rid_of_legacy_admits_is_a_terrible_idea_110387.html).

<sup>14</sup> Rampell, *op. cit.*

- <sup>15</sup> William Fitzsimmons, Rakesh Khurana and Michael D. Smith, “Report of the Committee to Study Race-Neutral Alternatives,” Harvard University, April 2018, [https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/files/diverse-education/files/report\\_of\\_the\\_committee\\_to\\_study\\_race-neutral\\_alternatives\\_final.pdf](https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/files/diverse-education/files/report_of_the_committee_to_study_race-neutral_alternatives_final.pdf).
- <sup>16</sup> Romesh Vaitilingam, “Should Elite Schools End Legacy Admissions?” *Chicago Booth Review*, May 07, 2019, <https://www.chicagobooth.edu/review/should-elite-schools-end-legacy-admissions>.
- <sup>17</sup> Sarah Kessler, Bernhard Warner and Ephrat Livni, “After Affirmative Action Ruling, Legacy Admissions Take Center Stage,” *The New York Times*, July 29, 2023, <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/07/29/business/dealbook/affirmative-action-legacy-admissions.html>.
- <sup>18</sup> Emilio J. Castilla and Ethan J. Poskanzer, “Through the Front Door: Why Do Organizations (Still) Prefer Legacy Applicants?” *American Sociological Review*, Sept. 21, 2022, <https://www.asanet.org/for-press/press-releases/new-study-delves-into-what-drives-colleges-continued-use-of-legacy-preference-2/>; Kessler, Warner and Livni, *op. cit.*; and Chad Coffman, Tara O’Neil, and Brian Starr, “An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Legacy Preferences on Alumni Giving at Top Universities,” *Affirmative Action for the Rich* (2010), [https://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/production.tcf.org/app/uploads/2016/03/08201915/2010-09-15-chapter\\_5.pdf](https://s3-us-west-2.amazonaws.com/production.tcf.org/app/uploads/2016/03/08201915/2010-09-15-chapter_5.pdf), ch. 5.
- <sup>19</sup> “The Pragmatic Case for Ending Legacy Admissions, Now,” *The Harvard Crimson*, Sept. 11, 2023, <https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2023/9/11/editorial-end-legacy-admissions-now/>; Kate Perez, “Legacy Admissions Are Being Rolled Back: Here’s Which Colleges Have Changed Policies,” *USA TODAY*, Aug. 3, 2023, <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/education/2023/08/03/legacy-college-admissions/70511866007/>.
- <sup>20</sup> Nick Chiles, “OPINION: Banning Legacy Admissions Will Deliver Another Blow to the Children of Black Alumni,” *The Hechinger Report*, March 30, 2024, <https://hechingerreport.org/opinion-banning-legacy-admissions-will-deliver-another-blow-to-the-children-of-black-alumni/>.
- <sup>21</sup> Richard V. Reeves, “The Shame Deficit,” *The Atlantic*, April 19, 2022, <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2022/04/ban-legacy-college-admissions-nepotism/629566/>.
- <sup>22</sup> Lauren Sforza, “Tim Scott Calls on Universities to End Legacy Admissions,” *The Hill*, June 29, 2023, <https://thehill.com/homenews/campaign/4074428-tim-scott-calls-on-universities-to-end-legacy-admissions/>.
- <sup>23</sup> *Chica Project, African Community Economic Development of New England, and Greater Boston Latino Network v. President And Fellows*

---

of Harvard College, complaint, Dept. of Education Office of Civil Rights, <https://lawyersforcivilrights.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/Federal-Civil-Rights-Complaint-Against-Harvard.pdf>.

<sup>24</sup> *Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College*, U.S. Supreme Court, No. 20 — 1199, June 29, 2023, [https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/22pdf/20-1199\\_hgdj.pdf](https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/22pdf/20-1199_hgdj.pdf).

<sup>25</sup> Alex Slen, “U.S. Department of Education to Investigate Penn Legacy Admissions Policy,” *The Daily Pennsylvanian*, Jan. 8, 2024, <https://www.thedp.com/article/2024/01/ocr-investigation-penn-legacy-admissions-policies>.

<sup>26</sup> Murphy, “The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 4,” *op. cit.*

<sup>27</sup> “Common Data Set 2023-2024,” The Common Data Set Initiative, <https://commondataset.org/>.

<sup>28</sup> James Murphy, “The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 2: Legacy Preferences,” Education Reform Now, Fall 2022, <https://edreformnow.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/The-Future-of-Fair-Admissions-Legacy-Preferences.pdf>.

<sup>29</sup> Susan Svrluga and Nick Anderson, “Racial Diversity Surged at Johns Hopkins in the Last Decade. Will It Last?” *The Washington Post*, Aug. 29, 2023, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2023/08/29/john-hopkins-legacy-racial-diversity/>.

<sup>30</sup> Stacy Teicher Khadaroo, “A Big-Name College Drops Legacy Admissions. Will Others Follow?” *The Christian Science Monitor*, Feb. 19, 2020, <https://www.csmonitor.com/USA/Education/2020/0219/A-big-name-college-drops-legacy-admissions.-Will-others-follow>.

<sup>31</sup> Al-Tony Gilmore, “Some HBCUs May Also Have to Face the Issue of Legacy Admissions,” *The Journal of Blacks in Higher Education*, Jan. 8, 2024, <https://bit.ly/3xh7WX6>.

<sup>32</sup> Lise Funderburg, “For These Families, H.B.C.U.s Aren’t Just an Option. They’re a Tradition,” *The New York Times*, May 13, 2022, <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/05/13/special-series/families-hbcus-graduates-legacy.html>; “Spelman History in Brief,” Spelman.edu, 2022, <https://www.spelman.edu/about-us/history-in-brief>.

<sup>33</sup> Tina Kelley, “Princeton U. To Keep ‘Legacy Admissions’ Policy Favoring Children of Alumni,” *NJ.com*, March 28, 2024, <https://www.nj.com/education/2024/03/princeton-u-will-keep-its-legacy-admissions-policy-giving-a-boost-to-children-of-alumni.html>; *Chica Project*, *op. cit.*

<sup>34</sup> Thomas J. Espenshade, Chang Y. Chung and Joan L. Walling, “Admission Preferences for Minority Students, Athletes, and Legacies at Elite Universities,” *Social Science Quarterly*, pp. 1422-1446, December 2004, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4746371\\_Admission\\_Preferences\\_for\\_Minority\\_Students\\_Athletes\\_and\\_Legacies\\_at\\_Elite\\_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4746371_Admission_Preferences_for_Minority_Students_Athletes_and_Legacies_at_Elite_)

Universities; Claire Cain Miller and Aatish Bhatia, “How Big Is the Legacy Boost at Elite Colleges?” *The New York Times*, July 27, 2023, <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/07/27/upshot/ivy-league-legacy-admissions.html>.

<sup>35</sup> *Chica Project, op. cit.*

<sup>36</sup> Jeremiah Quinlan, “An Act Prohibiting an Institution of Higher Education from Considering Legacy Preferences in the Admissions Process,” statement before the Connecticut House Committee on Higher Education and Employment Advancement, 2022, <https://www.cga.ct.gov/2022/HEDdata/Tmy/2022HB-05034-R000217-Quinlan,%20Jeremiah,%20Dean%20of%20Admissions-Yale%20University-TMY.PDF>.

<sup>37</sup> Vianney Gómez, “U.S. Public Continues to View Grades, Test Scores as Top Factors in College Admissions,” Pew Research Center, April 26, 2022, <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2022/04/26/u-s-public-continues-to-view-grades-test-scores-as-top-factors-in-college-admissions/>; James Murphy, *op. cit.*

<sup>38</sup> Michael S. Roth, “Wesleyan University to End Legacy Admission — Roth on Wesleyan,” blog post, Wesleyan University, July 19, 2023, <https://roth.blogs.wesleyan.edu/2023/07/19/wesleyan-university-to-end-legacy-admission/?gaclick=newsitemhome>.

<sup>39</sup> Nick Anderson, “Pressure Mounts on Colleges to Ditch ‘Legacy’ Admissions Factor,” *The Washington Post*, July 16, 2023, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2023/07/16/legacy-college-admissions-affirmative-action/>.

<sup>40</sup> Murphy, “The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 2,” *op. cit.*

<sup>41</sup> Erin Blakemore, “Why Do Colleges Have Legacy Admissions? It Started as a Way to Keep out Jews,” *National Geographic*, July 28, 2023, <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/history/article/legacy-admissions-college-anti-semitism-united-states>.

<sup>42</sup> Deborah L. Coe and James D. Davidson. “The Origins of Legacy Admissions: A Sociological Explanation,” *Review Of Religious Research*, 2011, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23055549>.

<sup>43</sup> Adam Harris, “How Reconstruction Created American Public Education,” *The Atlantic*, Nov. 13, 2023, <https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2023/12/reconstruction-education-black-students-public-schools/675816/>.

<sup>44</sup> Michael Lomax, “Historically Black Colleges and Universities: Bringing a Tradition of Engagement into the Twenty-First Century,” *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 2006, <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1093045.pdf>.

<sup>45</sup> Blakemore, *op. cit.*

- 
- <sup>46</sup> “Testing in American Schools: Asking the Right Questions,” U.S. Office of Technology Assessment, <https://www.princeton.edu/~ota/disk1/1992/9236/923606.PDF>, ch. 4.
- <sup>47</sup> John Rosales, “The Racist Beginnings of Standardized Testing,” NEA, March 20, 2021, <https://www.nea.org/nea-today/all-news-articles/racist-beginnings-standardized-testing>.
- <sup>48</sup> “Testing in American Schools,” *op. cit.*; Deborah L. Coe and James D. Davidson, “The Origins of Legacy Admissions: A Sociological Explanation,” *Review Of Religious Research*, 2011, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23055549>.
- <sup>49</sup> Peter Jacobs, “Legacy Admissions Policies Were Originally Created to Keep Jewish Students Out of Elite Colleges,” *Business Insider*, Oct. 23, 2013, <https://www.businessinsider.com/legacy-admissions-originally-created-keep-jewish-students-out-elite-colleges-2013-10>.
- <sup>50</sup> David Brooks, “‘The Chosen’: Getting In,” *The New York Times*, Nov. 6, 2005, <https://www.nytimes.com/2005/11/06/books/review/the-chosen-getting-in.html>.
- <sup>51</sup> Dartmouth College, “Selective Process for the Class of 1926,” January 2022, <https://archive.dartmouthalumnimagazine.com/article/1922/1/1/selective-process-instituted-for-the-class-of-1926>; Christie Davis, “The Disgraceful Legacy of Legacy Admissions,” *The Princetonian*, Aug. 24, 2023, <https://www.dailyprincetonian.com/article/2023/08/princeton-opinion-legacy-admissions-jewish-quota>.
- <sup>52</sup> “75 Years of the GI Bill: How Transformative It’s Been,” U.S. Department of Defense, Jan. 9, 2019, <https://www.defense.gov/News/Feature-Stories/Story/article/1727086/75-years-of-the-gi-bill-how-transformative-its-been/>; Erin Blakemore, “How the GI Bill’s Promise Was Denied to a Million Black WWII Veterans,” *History.com*, June 21, 2019, <https://www.history.com/news/gi-bill-black-wwii-veterans-benefits>.
- <sup>53</sup> Cameron Howell and Sarah E. Turner, “Legacies in Black and White: The Racial Composition of the Legacy Pool,” NBER Working Papers 9448, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., January 2003, <https://www.nber.org/papers/w9448>.
- <sup>54</sup> Jerome Karabel, “Opinion: The Legacy of Legacies,” *The New York Times*, Sept. 13, 2004, <https://www.nytimes.com/2004/09/13/opinion/the-legacy-of-legacies.html?searchResultPosition=2>; Larson, *op. cit.*
- <sup>55</sup> Reeves, *op. cit.*
- <sup>56</sup> Roger Davis, Jr., “An Exploration of African American Higher Education — Increasing Access and Opportunity Experiences in the South,” Library of Congress, accessed May 13, 2024, <https://www.loc.gov/ghe/cascade/index.html?appid=ce6c8e9130104911b6afd2103237086e&bookmark=Civil%20>

Rights%20and%20Hig; “When the Supreme Court First Ruled on Affirmative Action,” June 28, 2023, <https://constitutioncenter.org/blog/when-the-supreme-court-first-ruled-on-affirmative-action>.

<sup>57</sup> “When the Supreme Court First Ruled on Affirmative Action,” *ibid*.

<sup>58</sup> “Why Affirmative Action in American Universities Had to Go,” *The Economist*, June 30, 2023, <https://econ.st/4bRFKtv>.

<sup>59</sup> “Affirmative Action in Education Matters for Equity, Opportunity, and the Nation’s Progress,” NAACP, accessed May 13, 2024, <https://naacp.org/resources/affirmative-action-education-matters>.

<sup>60</sup> John K. Wilson, “The Myth of Reverse Discrimination in Higher Education,” *The Journal of Blacks in Higher Education*, No. 10, 1995, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2962773?origin=crossref>, pp. 88-93.

<sup>61</sup> “Practice of Legacy Admissions Captures the Attention of a Kennedy,” *The Chicago Tribune*, updated Aug. 21, 2021, <https://www.chicagotribune.com/2003/12/05/practice-of-legacy-admissions-captures-the-attention-of-a-kennedy/>; George Leef, “Legacy Admissions — Affirmative Action for the Rich?” The James G. Martin Center for Academic Renewal, May 31, 2016, <https://www.jamesgmartin.center/2008/02/legacy-admissions-affirmative-action-for-the-rich/>.

<sup>62</sup> Michael Hurwitz, “The impact of legacy status on undergraduate admissions at elite colleges and universities,” The Harvard Graduate School of Education, December 2009, [https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/btl/files/michael\\_hurwitz\\_-\\_qp\\_12-12-09.pdf](https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/btl/files/michael_hurwitz_-_qp_12-12-09.pdf).

<sup>63</sup> Richard Kahlenberg, ed., *Affirmative Action for the Rich: Legacy Preferences in College Admissions* (2010).

<sup>64</sup> Richard D Kahlenberg, “A New Path to Diversity — Dissent Magazine,” *Dissent Magazine*, March 28, 2023, [https://www.dissentmagazine.org/online\\_articles/a-new-path-to-diversity/](https://www.dissentmagazine.org/online_articles/a-new-path-to-diversity/); David Brooks, “Opinion | Let’s Smash the College Admissions Process,” *The New York Times*, June 1, 2023, <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/06/01/opinion/college-admissions-affirmative-action.html>.

<sup>65</sup> Rahem D. Hamid and Thomas J. Mete, “Supreme Court, President Biden Take Aim at Legacy Admissions,” *The Harvard Crimson*, June 30, 2023, <https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2023/6/30/legacy-scrutiny-sffa-harvard/>; “Strategies for Increasing Diversity and Opportunity in Higher Education,” U.S. Department of Education, Office of the Under Secretary, September 2023, <https://sites.ed.gov/ous/2023/09/diversityand-opportunity-in-he>.

<sup>66</sup> Slen, *op. cit.*; Chica Project, *op. cit.*

<sup>67</sup> Merkley and Bowman, *op. cit.*

<sup>68</sup> *Ibid.*

---

<sup>69</sup> “The Fair College Admissions for Students Act,” *op. cit.*; Jasmine Edmonson, “A Brief History: The Rise of Historically Black Colleges and Universities,” Louisiana State University, Academic Programs Abroad, accessed May 13, 2024, [https://www.lsu.edu/intlpro/apa/blog\\_posts/2021/hbcus\\_a\\_brief\\_history.php](https://www.lsu.edu/intlpro/apa/blog_posts/2021/hbcus_a_brief_history.php).

<sup>70</sup> “The Merit Act,” news release, Office of Sen. Todd Young, [https://www.young.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/imo/media/doc/the\\_merit\\_act.pdf](https://www.young.senate.gov/wp-content/uploads/imo/media/doc/the_merit_act.pdf).

<sup>71</sup> Jeannie Suk Gersen, “The End of Legacy Admissions Could Transform College Access,” *The New Yorker*, Aug. 8, 2023, <https://www.newyorker.com/news/daily-comment/the-end-of-legacy-admissions-could-transform-college-access>.

<sup>72</sup> Liam Knox, “Legislating an End to Legacy Preferences,” *Inside Higher Ed*, Aug. 14, 2023, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/admissions/traditional-age/2023/08/14/breathing-new-life-legacy-admissions-legislation>.

<sup>73</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>74</sup> Paige Rawiszer, “Pa. Senator Proposes State-Wide Ban on Legacy Admissions — Including at Penn,” *The Daily Pennsylvanian*, Aug. 30, 2023, <https://www.thedp.com/article/2023/08/penn-pennsylvania-state-senate-legacy-admissions>.

<sup>75</sup> “Senate Bill S4170A,” The New York State Senate, accessed Feb. 3, 2023, <https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/bills/2023/S4170/amendment/A>.

<sup>76</sup> Liam Knox, “Maryland House Passes Legacy Admissions Ban,” *Inside Higher Ed*, Feb. 19, 2024, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/quick-takes/2024/02/19/maryland-house-passes-bill-banning-legacy-admissions>; Cayla Bamberger, “New York Colleges under Pressure to End Legacy Admissions after Supreme Court Affirmative Action Ruling,” *New York Daily News*, July 8, 2023, <https://www.nydailynews.com/2023/07/17/new-york-colleges-under-pressure-to-end-legacy-admissions-after-supreme-court-affirmative-action-ruling/>.

<sup>77</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>78</sup> “Senate Bill S4170A,” *op. cit.*; Knox, “Maryland House Passes Legacy Admissions Ban,” *op. cit.*

<sup>79</sup> “SF 4400 Status in the Senate for the 93rd Legislature (2023-2024),” Minnesota Legislature, Office of the Revisor of Statutes, 2023, <https://www.revisor.mn.gov/bills/bill.php?b=senate&f=SF4400&ssn=0&y=2024>.

<sup>80</sup> Ninette Miranda, “Ting Brings Back Legislation to End Legacy Admissions Practices to Make College Access More Fair & Equitable,” news release, Office of Assemblymember Phil Ting, Feb. 28, 2024, <https://a19.asmdc.org/press-releases/20240228-ting-brings-back-legislation-end-legacy-admissions-practices-make-college>; Teresa Watanabe, “California Effort to Crack down on Legacy and Donor

Admissions Could Hit USC, Stanford,” *Los Angeles Times*, Feb. 29, 2024, <https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2024-02-29/california-move-to-crack-down-on-legacy-and-donor-admissions-could-hit-usc-stanford>; Advancing Justice Southern California, X, formerly Twitter, post, April 18, 2024, [https://x.com/ajsocal\\_/status/1781015840537874770](https://x.com/ajsocal_/status/1781015840537874770).

<sup>81</sup> “Connecticut SB00203,” LegiScan, 2024, <https://legiscan.com/CT/rollcall/SB00203/id/1437115>; Hudson Kamphausen, “Bill to Prohibit Legacy Admissions Draws Students’ Support, Opposition from College Administrators,” *CT News Junkie*, Feb. 29, 2024, <https://ctnewsjunkie.com/2024/02/29/bill-to-prohibit-legacy-admissions-draws-students-support-opposition-from-college-administrators/>.

<sup>82</sup> Kate Dario, “Students Call for End to Legacy Admissions,” *The Brown Daily Herald*, Oct. 8, 2021, <https://www.browndailyherald.com/article/2021/10/students-call-for-end-to-legacy-admissions>; Christoph Baker, “Legacy Admissions Is Still Under Attack,” *Inside Higher Ed*, April 10, 2023, <https://www.insidehighered.com/opinion/views/2023/04/10/legacy-admissions-still-under-attack>.

<sup>83</sup> Murphy, “The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 4,” *op. cit.*; Harrington Shaw, “The State of Legacy Admissions,” The James G. Martin Center for Academic Renewal, Oct. 26, 2023, <https://www.jamesgmartin.center/2023/10/the-state-of-legacy-admissions/>.

<sup>84</sup> Liam Knox, “First Out of the Gate,” *Inside Higher Ed*, July 31, 2023, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/admissions/traditional-age/2023/07/31/wesleyan-president-discusses-why-he-ended-legacy>; Genevieve Carlton, “What Is the Ivy League?” *BestColleges*, March 21, 2023, <https://www.bestcolleges.com/blog/what-is-ivy-league/>.

<sup>85</sup> Molly Reinmann, “Yale to Review Its Legacy Admissions Preference: ‘Everything Is up for Discussion,’ ” *Yale Daily News*, Oct. 27, 2023, <https://yaledailynews.com/blog/2023/10/27/yale-to-review-its-legacy-admissions-preference-everything-is-up-for-discussion/>; Dario, *op. cit.*; Nick Anderson, “Will Top Schools Continue ‘Legacy’ Admission Preferences? Many Say Yes,” *The Washington Post*, Sept. 29, 2023, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2023/09/29/colleges-keep-legacy-admissions/>.

<sup>86</sup> Reinmann, *op. cit.*

<sup>87</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>88</sup> Knox, “First Out of the Gate,” *op. cit.*; Carlton, *op. cit.*

<sup>89</sup> Chica Project, *op. cit.*

<sup>90</sup> Sara Weissman, “As Affirmative Action Ends, HBCUs Wait or Plan for the Fallout,” *Inside Higher Ed*, July 12, 2023, <https://www.insidehighered.com/news/diversity/race-ethnicity/2023/07/12/affirmative-action-ends-hbcus-wait-or-prepare>.

---

<sup>91</sup> Sarah Wood, “How HBCUs Are Addressing the Cost of College,” *US News & World Report*, Sept. 29, 2021, <https://www.usnews.com/education/best-colleges/paying-for-college/articles/how-hbcus-are-addressing-the-cost-of-college>.

<sup>92</sup> Amanda J. Calhoun, “Opinion: I’m a Black Yale Alum. I Support Legacy Admissions,” *Newsweek*, May 5, 2022, <https://www.newsweek.com/im-black-yale-alum-i-support-legacy-admissions-opinion-1703457>.

# Bibliography

## Books

**Golden, Daniel, *The Price of Admission: How America’s Ruling Class Buys Its Way into Elite Colleges — and Who Gets Left Outside the Gates*, Crown, 2007.**

An investigative journalist reveals the outsized power elite families wield in college admissions.

**Kahlenberg, Richard D., *Affirmative Action for the Rich: Legacy Preferences in College Admissions*, The Century Foundation, 2010.**

Education experts examine the origins of legacy admissions and how they relate to civil rights and meritocracy in education.

**Selingo, Jeffrey, *Who Gets In and Why: A Year Inside College Admissions*, Scribner, 2020.**

An education journalist provides an insider’s view of the college admissions process.

## Articles

**“Editorials: The Pragmatic Case for Ending Legacy Admissions, Now,” *The Harvard Crimson*, Sept. 11, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/4csecmcs>.**

Ending legacy admissions is unlikely to reduce alumni donations, an Ivy League student paper editorial argues.

**Anderson, Nick, “Will Top Schools Continue ‘Legacy’ Admission Preferences? Many Say Yes,” *The Washington Post*, Sept. 29, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/4439vphn>.**

Despite controversy, elite universities persist in applying legacy preferences in admissions.

**Calhoun, Amanda J., “Opinion: I’m a Black Yale Alum, I Support Legacy Admissions,” *Newsweek*, May 5, 2022, <https://tinyurl.com/kvb62bn3>.**

People of color are finally starting to benefit from legacy preferences in the Ivy League, making this the wrong time to end them, a Yale alumna of color argues.

**The Crimson Editorial Board, “The Pragmatic Case for Ending Legacy Admissions, Now,” *The Harvard Crimson*, Sept. 11, 2023, <https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2023/9/11/editorial-end-legacy-admissions-now/>.**

Ending legacy admissions is unlikely to reduce alumni donations.

**Funderburg, Lise, “For These Families, H.B.C.U.s Aren’t Just an Option. They’re a Tradition,” *The New York Times*, May 13, 2024, <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/05/13/special-series/families-hbcus-graduates-legacy.html>.**

Some Black families have intergenerational ties to HBCUs.

**Gersen, Jeannie Suk, “The End of Legacy Admissions Could Transform College Access,” *The New Yorker*, Aug. 8, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/2p9tx3fm>.**

Eliminating legacy admissions could create opportunities for students of color in higher education.

**Gilmore, Al-Tony, “Some HBCUs May Also Have to Face the Issue of Legacy Admissions,” *The Journal of Blacks in Higher Education*, Jan. 8, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/mryfrxth>.**

Proposals to ban legacy preferences may have unintended consequences for historically Black colleges and universities, a historian and expert on Black education writes.

**Patel, Vimal, “Why Legacy Admissions Are at the Center of a Dispute in Higher Education,” *The New York Times*, July 26, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/4u9fj3h8>.**

The Supreme Court affirmative action ruling shines a spotlight on preferences for white, wealthy legacy applicants.

---

**Reeves, Richard V., “The Shame Deficit,” *The Atlantic*, April 19, 2022, <https://tinyurl.com/2kx2rak6>.**

Legacy admissions represent nepotism among American elites, a British immigrant and senior fellow at the Brookings Institution contends.

## Reports and Studies

**Reber, Sarah and Gabriela Goodman, “Who Uses Legacy Admissions?” Brookings, March 12, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/45jspejc>.**

Researchers for a nonprofit think tank find selective and private colleges are most likely to use legacy admissions, though a substantial minority of public and less selective institutions do too.

**Howell, Cameron, and Sarah E. Turner, “Legacies in Black and White: The Racial Composition of the Legacy Pool,” *Research in Higher Education*, June 2004, <https://tinyurl.com/2bj9uuav>.**

Researchers argue that legacy admissions hamper racial diversity in college admissions.

**Murphy, James, “The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 4: Legacy Admissions Update,” *Education Reform Now*, December 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/ya2ynyxp>.**

A director for an advocacy group makes the case against legacy admissions on the grounds that they hamper social mobility and diversity using the most current government data available and argues that the government should collect and publish disaggregated data on each college’s legacy admissions.

# The Next Step

## College Actions

**Kelley, Tina, “Princeton U. to keep ‘legacy admissions’ policy favoring children of alumni,” *NJ Advance Media*, March 28, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRnjlaprin>.**

Princeton University's board of trustees voted to keep legacy admissions while also pledging to admit more low-income students.

**Lechpammer, Eric, "Student Assembly Unanimously Passes Resolution Urging End to Legacy Admissions," *The Cornell Daily Sun*, March 25, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRcornella>.**

Cornell University's Student Assembly unanimously passed a resolution calling on the school to end its use of legacy preferences in admissions.

**Rareshide, Karen, "Georgetown Admits 12% of Applicants to Undergraduate Class of 2028 as Students Call for End to Legacy Admissions," *The Hoya*, April 5, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRgtownla>.**

A Georgetown reporter notes there are more legacy students (9 percent) than Hispanic students (8 percent) and Black students (7 percent) on campus.

### Federal Actions

**Binkley, Collin, and Carole Feldman, "US education chief considers new ways to discourage college admissions preference for kids of alumni," *The Associated Press*, Sept. 22, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRapleg>.**

Secretary of Education Miguel Cardona suggests the Biden administration might consider making the availability of federal financial aid contingent on institutions banning legacy preferences.

**Herszenhorn, Miles J., and Claire Yuan, "In Washington, Democrats and Republicans Take Aim at Harvard Admissions," *The Harvard Crimson*, Sept. 1, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRharval>.**

Student reporters review the actions of the Biden administration and Congress toward legacy admissions in the wake of the U.S. Supreme Court declaring the school's race-conscious admissions policies unconstitutional.

**McDaniel, Eric, "This bipartisan Senate duo wants to end legacy college admissions," *NPR*, Dec. 27, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRbicongleg>.**

Sens. Todd Young, R-Ind., and Tim Kaine, D-Va., introduced a bill that would prohibit accredited higher education institutions from using legacy preferences in admissions.

---

**Slen, Alex, “U.S. Department of Education to investigate Penn legacy admissions policy,” *The Daily Pennsylvanian*, Jan. 18, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRpennla>.**

The Education Department’s civil rights office opened an investigation into whether the University of Pennsylvania’s use of legacy preferences is racially discriminatory.

## **State Actions**

**Chen, Shawna, “Private California colleges could face legacy admissions penalty,” *Axios*, March 18, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRcalla>.**

A San Francisco Democrat introduced a bill that would bar California’s private colleges and universities from using legacy preferences in admissions; public schools in California already forego legacy preferences.

**Miller, Hallie, and Olivia Sanchez, “Maryland becomes the third state to completely ban legacy preference in admissions,” *The Hechinger Report*, May 1, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRmdleg>.**

Maryland joined Colorado and Virginia in banning the use of legacy preferences in college admissions; legislators in at least five other states are considering following suit.

**Putterman, Alex, “CT won’t ban legacy admissions in higher ed, after lawmakers weaken proposed bill to a study,” *CT Insider*, May 1, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQlaconn>.**

The Connecticut House of Representatives passed a bill requiring schools to report annual data on the use of the legacy admissions but stripped a provision that would have banned the practice.

**Shen-Berro, Julian, “Amid growing calls to end legacy admissions, New York considers banning the practice,” *Chalkbeat New York*, April 29, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRnyleg>.**

A state legislator introduced a bill prohibiting the use of legacy admissions by New York colleges and universities; violations would be punishable by financial penalties.

### The Future of College Access

**Canto, Minerva, “Commentary: Affirmative action ruling demands earlier intervention for equal college access,” *Los Angeles Times*, Aug. 1, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRlatla>.**

A journalist with a college-bound Latino son argues that banning legacy admissions should be paired with more equitable educational opportunities for all kids, starting with early childhood, in order to ensure fair and meritorious access to higher education.

**Crittenden, Faith, “The Fight to End Legacy Admissions Must Account for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion,” *Ms.*, Jan. 25, 2024, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRmslaaa>.**

A Black woman argues that legacy admissions should not be banned but reformed to provide more access to college educations for underrepresented groups.

**Roth, Michael S., “Wesleyan University president: Why we’re ending preferential legacy admissions,” *CNN*, July 19, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRwesla>.**

The president of Wesleyan University in Connecticut writes about the easy and hard parts of expanding college access.

**Schisgall, Elias J., and Neil H. Shah, “A Bigger Harvard? Rethinking Access in ‘Elite’ Higher Education,” *The Harvard Crimson*, Nov. 16, 2023, <https://tinyurl.com/CQRharmagla>.**

Two students explore an idea to expand access to the school backed by one of its former presidents, Lawrence H. Summers: Simply accept more students.

---

# Pro/Con:

Should the government ban legacy admissions?

**Yes**



**RICHARD D. KAHLENBERG**

Director, American Identity Project, Progressive Policy Institute

WRITTEN FOR *CQ RESEARCHER*, MAY 2024

Legacy preferences, which provide a **substantial boost** in admissions to the children of alumni at selective colleges, are profoundly un-American. In a country whose founding document declares that we are all created equal, providing inherited privileges smacks of the type of aristocracy we rejected long ago.

Following the Supreme Court's decision to ban racial preferences in admissions, numerous colleges, from Wesleyan to Occidental, have jettisoned the use of legacy preferences. In Virginia, the governor recently signed legislation to ban legacy preferences at public universities after legislators in both houses unanimously supported the proposal. In the U.S. Senate, Tim Kaine, D-Va., and Todd Young, R-Ind., have **cosponsored legislation** to eliminate legacy preferences at all universities receiving federal funding. The bill should be passed and signed into law.

Some private institutions are crying foul, claiming that government regulation of admissions violates their right to academic freedom. "The state should not dictate how colleges and universities make admissions decisions," Yale University's admissions dean, Jeremiah Quinlan, **argued**. But the Civil Rights Act of 1964 already regulates admissions, making it unlawful for institutions receiving federal funding to discriminate based on race.

Discrimination based on ancestry — who your Mommy or Daddy is — should likewise be outlawed. A student's merit, not the size of their parents' pocketbook, should guide admissions. Noting that preferences for the offspring of alumni disproportionately benefit wealthy and white students, NAACP president Derrick Johnson **argues** that legacy admissions "have long served to perpetuate an inherently racist college admissions process."

If private institutions such as Yale are so intent on preserving the right to discriminate based on lineage, they should be free to do so only so long as they forego federal funding and give up all the enormous tax breaks they receive as institutions that pledge to serve the public interest. Americans should not be forced to subsidize discriminatory behavior.

At a time when colleges are figuring out how to try to preserve racial diversity and seeking to promote higher levels of social mobility, legacy preferences work at direct cross-purposes to both goals. As the former

presidents of Harvard, [Lawrence Summers](#) and [Derek Bok](#) have declared, universities should eliminate this anachronistic practice. If they don't refrain from discrimination, the government needs to step in.

### No



**JENNIFER WIDNESS**

President, Connecticut Conference of Independent Colleges

EXCERPTED FROM [TESTIMONY FOR THE CONNECTICUT SENATE HIGHER EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT ADVANCEMENT COMMITTEE](#), FEB. 29, 2024. THIS EXCERPT HAS BEEN EDITED FOR LENGTH AND CLARITY.

[Connecticut Senate Bill 203] prohibits both public and independent colleges in Connecticut from giving preference to an applicant for admission to such institution on the basis of the applicant's familial relationship to a person who has graduated from such institution.

The CCIC [Connecticut Conference of Independent Colleges] shares the concerns of the bill's proponents about the repercussions of the 2023 Supreme Court decision banning affirmative action, especially when there are already significant disparities between demographic groups in this state when it comes to post-secondary enrollment. . . . CCIC's member institutions have made a strong commitment to supporting diversity and access in higher education, despite drastic reductions in state need-based grant aid over the last fifteen years. . . .

The majority of our members are not highly selective and did not consider race previously in their admissions practice, but those that did are taking significant steps to ensure they continue to enroll a diverse student body. See recent [national research](#) and examples of actions taken by: [Yale](#), [Wesleyan](#) and [Trinity](#).

While CCIC supports the intent of this bill — to promote equity and access in post-secondary education — we oppose the manner in which the bill seeks to accomplish that goal by interfering with institutional autonomy.

CCIC believes that institutions are in the best position to determine the criteria that will help them build a diverse admissions class with an appropriate range of talent, background and interests. . . .

Further, banning legacy admissions will not move the needle on promoting equity and access in post-secondary [education]. Instead, policymakers should focus on investing in programs that promote opportunities for all students, like need-based financial aid, or expanding college bridge programs. . . .

No state in the country prohibits independent colleges from considering legacy preference in the admissions process and currently only one other state — Colorado — prohibits public colleges from considering legacy admissions. Virginia has recently passed a bill . . . but it only prohibits legacy admissions at its public colleges.

---

Connecticut should not be the first [to ban legacy admissions at both public and independent colleges], especially given the fact that need-based aid to Connecticut students attending Connecticut independent colleges has been cut 200 percent since 2012. In summary, we ask the Committee to not move forward with this bill and consider taking less intrusive and more impactful action towards accomplishing the goal of promoting access and diversity in post-secondary education by re-investing in need-based financial aid.

## Discussion Questions

*Here are some issues to consider regarding legacy admissions:*

- Why did the Supreme Court's 2023 ruling in *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard* heighten debate about legacy admissions?
- Some argue that banning legacy admissions will not influence diversity on college campuses. Do you agree with this perspective?
- Why do proponents of legacy admissions argue that colleges should continue the practice?
- How has the lack of research into college admissions practices affected public perception about legacy students?
- Do you believe colleges should consider legacy in their admissions practices? Why or why not? ■

## Are Legacy Candidates Qualified?

***Recent research debunks perception that most legacy students are academically unqualified.***

Researchers at Harvard and MIT have found that legacy students are, for the most part, academically qualified for admission to elite schools and aren't getting in solely because of their connections to donors and alumni.

Much of the debate over preferential admissions has included assertions that some legacy students are taking the spots of academically superior peers who lack those connections — a concern for some opponents of legacy preferences. <sup>1</sup>

However, a recent analysis by Harvard-based think tank Opportunity Insights — the first of its kind to analyze internal admissions data from the Ivy League schools, as well as Duke, the University of Chicago, Stanford and MIT — revealed that legacy students tended to be more academically qualified than their peers, reported *The New York Times*. <sup>2</sup>

This is in part because the overwhelming majority of legacy students come from affluent backgrounds that afford access to educational opportunities and extracurricular enrichment. <sup>3</sup>

“Over the past generation, members of the college-educated class have become amazingly good at making sure their children retain their privileged status,” right-leaning commentator and *New York Times* columnist David Brooks wrote in 2017. “Educated parents live in neighborhoods with the best teachers, they top off their local public-school budgets and they benefit from legacy admissions rules, from admissions criteria that reward kids who grow up with lots of enriching travel and from unpaid internships that lead to jobs.” <sup>4</sup>

Not every school sees the same results, though. In a 2022 study of admissions at a single elite university, two MIT researchers concluded that “legacies are neither more qualified applicants nor better students academically.” <sup>5</sup>

This is primarily a question at top selective colleges. The Pew Research Center reported in 2019 that a majority of U.S. schools admit most the students who apply. However, the picture is very different at the nation’s Ivy League institutions, which together received more than 375,000 applications for the class of 2026, according to Shemassian Consulting, an admissions consulting firm based in Los Angeles, Calif. <sup>6</sup>

Owing to record numbers of applicants, there has been an overall downward trend in acceptance rates at Ivy League schools over the past eight years, according to Crimson Education, another university admissions consulting firm. Harvard and Yale are the most exclusive schools, admitting fewer than 4 percent of applicants for the class of 2028. <sup>7</sup>



Some detractors of preferential admissions have expressed concern that legacy students are taking the spots of academically superior peers – a perception that has been debunked by recent research. (Getty Images/*The Boston Globe*/Erin Clark)

Such schools see vastly more academically qualified students than they have room for. “The dean of admissions at Yale recently said in testimony before the Connecticut legislature that they saw 20,000 applicants who are academically qualified to go to Yale,” James Murphy, deputy director of higher education policy at Education Reform Now, a nonprofit advocacy group based in Washington, D.C., says, adding that many fewer are enrolled. “The power of legacy is that it gives you an advantage among qualified candidates,” he says, rather than “legacy policies are getting dumb kids into college who don’t deserve the spot.”

The perception that legacy students are underqualified mainly comes from decades-old evidence. It persists, at least in part, because of the paucity of large-scale studies of college admissions practices and the absence of comparable trend data. <sup>8</sup>

For example, a 1990 investigation by the Department of Education’s civil rights office concluded that, on average, legacy students admitted to Harvard between 1982 and 1988 had SAT scores 35 points lower than their peers. They were also ranked lower by admissions officers on other indicators. <sup>9</sup>

And a 2008 study by researchers at Duke University also found that legacy students had worse grades their freshman year than

nonlegacies. It seems likely that the qualifications of legacy students admitted have caught up to their peers between the older and newer studies, as part of the general increase in qualified college applicants.<sup>10</sup>

However, high-profile cases of criminal admissions fraud and legal admissions offers to underqualified legacy applicants — while rare — have done little to improve the perception that academically unqualified applicants are buying their way into top-tier schools.

Between 2011 and 2019, mega-rich families conspired with California-based consultant Rick Singer to commit criminal fraud to ensure their kids' places at elite institutions — entirely different from legal legacy preferences — leading to an FBI investigation known as Operation Varsity Blues. Typically, parents involved in the scandal would make a six-figure donation to Singer's nonprofit foundation, which he used to bribe athletic directors into recruiting (usually nonathletic) students as varsity athletes to bypass admissions officers and create a "side door" into prestigious universities such as the University of Southern California.<sup>11</sup>

Though federal prosecutors drew a sharp distinction between Singer's illegal operation and the completely legal fundraising methods and legacy policies that schools use, "the ways in which universities cater to rich families are also on trial," *The New York Times* said in its coverage of the trial.<sup>12</sup>

For example, critics of legacy admissions often point to academically lackluster Ivy League alumni, such as Jared Kushner, whom Harvard admitted in 1998 despite mediocre academic credentials, after his father promised to donate \$2.5 million to the school, according to *ProPublica*.<sup>13</sup>

More recently, in 2020, a California state auditor Elaine Howle discovered that between 2013 and 2019, the University of California's campuses at Berkeley, Los Angeles, San Diego and Santa Barbara admitted 64 underqualified applicants with alumni, donor or faculty connections to the schools. Three years later, the University of California, Pepperdine and Vanguard acknowledged that they had offered admission to 20 legacies "who did not meet the school's minimum admissions requirements," reported *The San Francisco Chronicle*.<sup>14</sup>

For Richard Kahlenberg, a policy expert and editor of *Affirmative Action for the Rich: Legacy Preferences in College Admissions*,

---

legacies' strong academic credentials, which he says are often used to defend legacy admissions, are "beside the point."

"To me, it's a fundamentally moral question about whether it should matter who your father or mother is," Kahlenberg says. "And that ought to be irrelevant to the process. If they're that strong academically, then they will get in on their own."

### — Ruth Terry

---

<sup>1</sup> Michael Dannenberg, "A Debate over Legacy Students," *New America*, 2019, <https://www.newamerica.org/higher-education/higher-ed-watch/a-debate-over-legacy-students/>; James Murphy, "The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 2: Legacy Preferences," *Education Reform Now*, Fall 2022, <https://edreformnow.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/The-Future-of-Fair-Admissions-Legacy-Preferences.pdf>.

<sup>2</sup> Claire Cain Miller and Aatish Bhatia, "How Big Is the Legacy Boost at Elite Colleges?" *The New York Times*, July 27, 2023, <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/07/27/upshot/ivy-league-legacy-admissions.html>.

<sup>3</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>4</sup> David Brooks, "Opinion: How We Are Ruining America," *The New York Times*, July 11, 2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/07/11/opinion/how-we-are-ruining-america.html>.

<sup>5</sup> Emilio J. Castilla and Ethan J. Poskanzer, "Through the Front Door: Why Do Organizations (Still) Prefer Legacy Applicants?" *American Sociological Review*, Sept. 21, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1177/00031224221122889>.

<sup>6</sup> Drew DeSilver, "A Majority of U.S. Colleges Admit Most Students Who Apply," Pew Research Center, April 9, 2019, <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2019/04/09/a-majority-of-u-s-colleges-admit-most-students-who-apply/>; "Ivy League Acceptance Rates 2024: What You're Up Against Ivy League Acceptance Rates 2024: What You're Up Against," Shemassian Consulting, <https://www.shemassianconsulting.com/blog/ivy-league-acceptance-rates>.

<sup>7</sup> Arkesh Patel, "Ivy League Acceptance Rates for the Class of 2028," *Crimson Education*, April 25, 2024, <https://www.crimsoneducation.org/tr-en/blog/ivy-league-acceptance-rates/>.

<sup>8</sup> James Murphy, "The Future of Fair Admissions Issue Brief 2: Legacy Preferences," *Education Reform Now*, Fall 2022, <https://edreformnow.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/The-Future-of-Fair-Admissions-Legacy-Preferences.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>10</sup> Nathan D. Martin and Kenneth I. Spenner, "A Social Portrait of Legacies at an Elite University," *Duke University*, Aug. 1, 2008, [https://people.duke.edu/~jmoody77/ProSem/Spenner\\_Legacies-ASA-2008.pdf](https://people.duke.edu/~jmoody77/ProSem/Spenner_Legacies-ASA-2008.pdf).

<sup>11</sup> Angel B Pérez, "Varsity Blues Was an End Run around Admissions Offices," *Forbes*, March 15, 2021, <https://www.forbes.com/sites/angelperez/2021/03/15/varsity-blues-was-an-end-run-around-admissions-offices/?sh=29557f303cca>.

<sup>12</sup> Anemona Hartocollis, “A Trial about Wealth, Privilege and the Murkiness of College Admissions,” *The New York Times*, Oct. 6, 2021, <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/10/06/us/varsity-blues-college-admissions-trial-usc.html>.

<sup>13</sup> Daniel Golden, “The Story behind Jared Kushner’s Curious Acceptance into Harvard,” *ProPublica*, Nov. 18, 2016, <https://www.propublica.org/article/the-story-behind-jared-kushners-curious-acceptance-into-harvard>; Mark J. Drozdowski, “The College Admissions Scandal That Shook Higher Ed,” *BestColleges.com*, March 21, 2023, <https://www.bestcolleges.com/blog/operation-varsity-blues-college-admissions-scandal/>.

<sup>14</sup> Evan Symon, “4 UC Universities Found to Have Admitted Unqualified Students over Connections,” *California Globe*, Sept. 26, 2020, <https://californiaglobe.com/fr/4-uc-universities-found-to-have-admitted-unqualified-students-over-connections/>; Sara Libby, “Private California Colleges Admitted Unqualified Legacy Students,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, July 6, 2023, <https://bit.ly/3Pz3IAe>.

# Chronology

**1890s-1920s** College entrance requirements become more standardized; elite schools begin instituting legacy policies.

## 1899

Representatives of 12 universities and three prep schools form the College Board to establish standardized learning standards for secondary schools, a precursor to merit-based admissions practices.

## 1922

Dartmouth College is the first school to institute a legacy policy to admit “all properly qualified sons of Dartmouth alumni and Dartmouth college officers.” . . . Princeton University also adopts legacy preferences this year.

## 1926

The College Board promotes standardized college admissions practices by offering the Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) to high school students.

---

**1940s-1970s** Legislation expands access to educational opportunities, protections for minority groups; college enrollment soars.

### 1944

The G.I. Bill provides education benefits to 8 million returning servicepeople, creating an influx of enrollment at colleges and universities, though many Black veterans are unable to benefit.

### 1950

College enrollment at U.S. colleges and universities reaches 2.7 million, nearly double the number in 1940.

### 1964

Congress passes the Civil Rights Act, prohibiting racial quotas in any programs or activities that receive federal funding.

### 1965

Title III of the Higher Education Act of 1965 creates financial aid to increase access to higher education.

### 1966

Yale admissions dean, R. Inslee Clark, diminishes the weight of legacy preferences, despite an outcry from alumni.

---

**1970s-1990s** Affirmative action in higher education begins and causes backlash against race-conscious admissions and legacy preferences.

### 1978

The Supreme Court rules in *Regents of University of California v. Bakke* that racial quotas in college admissions are unconstitutional, but race can be considered along with other factors as part of a holistic admissions process.

### 1990

A two-year Department of Education Office of Civil Rights investigation finds that Harvard's legacy and athletic preferences reduced Asian American enrollment.

### 1996

After California passes Proposition 209, banning affirmative action in public education, the University of California system eliminates legacy admissions.

---

**2000s-2010s** Controversy around race-conscious admissions and legacy policies intensifies.

### 2001

University of Georgia abandons legacy preferences after a court finds them unconstitutional.

### 2003

Sen. John Edwards, D-N.C., a candidate for president, opposes legacy admissions during his campaign. . . . Sen. Ted Kennedy, D-Mass., introduces a bill that would require schools to report the racial and socioeconomic background of legacy students, but the legislation fails.

### 2004

Texas A&M University stops using legacy preferences.

### 2014

Johns Hopkins University abandons legacy admissions.

### 2016

Georgetown University revises its definition of "legacy" to include descendants of people historically enslaved in Maryland.

---

## 2019

California state Assemblyman Phil Ting, D-San Francisco, introduces a bill to end legacy preferences at schools that participate in the state's financial aid program.

---

**2020s-Present** Supreme Court bans race-conscious admissions in colleges and universities that receive federal funding; scrutiny of legacy admissions increases.

## 2021

Amherst eliminates legacy admissions. . . . Colorado is the first state to ban legacy preferences in admissions at the state's public universities.

## 2022

Carnegie Mellon University ends legacy admissions.

## 2023

On June 29, in *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard*, the Supreme Court bans race-conscious admissions in colleges and universities that receive federal funding, increasing scrutiny of legacy admissions. . . . The Department of Education's civil rights office opens investigations to determine whether legacy policies at Harvard and the University of Pennsylvania violate Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. . . . Following the Supreme Court's decision, several prestigious colleges and universities, including Loyola Marymount University, Occidental College, University of Pittsburgh, Virginia Tech and Wesleyan University end legacy admissions to improve diversity and fairness in admissions. . . . Federal lawmakers reintroduce the Fair College Admissions for Students Act of 2022 to end legacy admissions.

## 2024

Virginia's Republican Gov. Glenn Youngkin signs legislation to ban legacy admissions at public universities in the commonwealth (March).

## For More Information

**Center for American Progress**, <https://www.americanprogress.org>. A progressive nonprofit policy think tank focused on education and social issues.

**EdMobilizer**, <https://www.edmobilizer.org>. A nonprofit group focused on improving access to higher education for first-generation college goers.

**Education Reform Now**, <https://edreformnow.org>. A nonprofit think tank and advocacy group that promotes anti-racist and progressive reforms in public education.


**Ivy Coach**, <https://www.ivycoach.com>. A consulting firm led by former Ivies staffers helps families navigate the college admissions process.

**Lawyers for Civil Rights**, <https://lawyersforcivilrights.org>. A Boston-based legal advocacy group focusing on civil rights in education policy.

**NAACP**, <https://naacp.org>. Premier civil rights organization founded in 1909 that advocates for such rights, including equity in education.

**National Center for Education Statistics**, <https://nces.ed.gov>. The government agency that compiles data about colleges and universities.

## About the Author



**Ruth Terry** is a Black and Puerto Rican American freelancer based in Istanbul. She earned a master's of public administration and worked in nonprofit fundraising before transitioning to journalism in 2010 – a career shift that began with a nonprofit best practices column for a regional business magazine. Since then, Ruth has written about food, culture, race and travel for national and international outlets, including *Al Jazeera*, *National Geographic*, *Nature*, *The New York Times*, *The Washington Post* and *Time*. Her most recent *CQ Researcher* report was on fast fashion.